1 "This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Early-Capistrán, M.-M., Sáenz-Arrovo, A., Cardoso-2 Mohedano, J.-G., Garibay-Melo, G., Peckham, S. H., & Koch, V. (2018). Reconstructing 290 years of a data-poor 3 fishery through ethnographic and archival research: The East Pacific green turtle (Chelonia mydas) in Baja 4 California, Mexico. Fish and Fisheries, 19(1), 57–77, which has been published in final form at 5 https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12236. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley 6 Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions. This article may not be enhanced, enriched or otherwise 7 transformed into a derivative work, without express permission from Wiley or by statutory rights under applicable 8 legislation. Copyright notices must not be removed, obscured or modified. The article must be linked to Wiley's 9 version of record on Wiley Online Library and any embedding, framing or otherwise making available the article 10 or pages thereof by third parties from platforms, services and websites other than Wiley Online Library must be 11 prohibited."

12

13 Journal: Fish and Fisheries

Title: Reconstructing 290 years of a data-poor fishery through ethnographic and archival research: the
East Pacific green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) in Baja California, Mexico.

Alternative (1): Evolution of the East Pacific green turtle fishery in the last 300 years in Baja
California, Mexico: an ethnographic and historical reconstruction.

18 Alternative (2): The "black steer" of Baja California: using ethnography and historical data to 19 reconstruct three centuries of exploitation of the East Pacific green turtle.

20 Authors: Early-Capistrán, Michelle-María<sup>1</sup>, Sáenz-Arroyo, Andrea<sup>2</sup>, Cardoso-Mohedano José-

21 Gilberto<sup>3</sup>, Garibay-Melo, Gerardo<sup>4</sup>, Peckham, S. Hoyt<sup>5,†</sup>, Koch, Volker<sup>6,7</sup>

- 22 Insitution at which the work was carried out: Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Posgrado
- 23 en Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior S/N,
- 24 Ciudad Universitaria, 04510, Ciudad de México, Mexico.
- 25 Correspondence: Andrea Sáenz-Arroyo. Departamento de Conservación de la Biodiversidad. El
- 26 Colegio de la Frontera Sur (ECOSUR), Periférico Sur s/n, María Auxiliadora, 29290 San Cristóbal de
- 27 Las Casas, Chiapas, Mexico. E-mail: andrea.saenzarroyo@gmail.com Fax: +52 (967) 674 9021
- 28 Suggested running title: Reconstructing a green turtle fishery
- 29
- 30 <sup>1</sup> Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Posgrado en Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma
- 31 de México, Circuito Exterior S/N, Ciudad Universitaria, 04510, Ciudad de México, Mexico.
- 32 <sup>2</sup> Departamento de Conservación de la Biodiversidad. El Colegio de la Frontera Sur (ECOSUR), Periférico Sur s/n, María
- 33 Auxiliadora, 29290 San Cristóbal de Las Casas, Chiapas, Mexico.
- 34 <sup>3</sup> CONACyT- Estación El Carmen, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de
- 35 México, Carretera Carmen-Puerto Real km. 9.5, 24157 Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, Mexico
- 36 <sup>4</sup> Maestría en Manejo de Ecosistemas de Zonas Áridas. Universidad Autónoma de Baja California (UABC), Carretera
- 37 Tijuana-Ensenada 3917, Playitas, 22860 Ensenada, B.C., Mexico.
- 38 <sup>5</sup> Center for Ocean Solutions, Stanford University. Pacific Grove, CA, USA.
- 39 <sup>†</sup>Previous affiliation: Grupo Tortuguero de las Californias A.C. La Paz, B.C.S., Mexico
- 40 <sup>6</sup> Departamento de Ecología Marina, Centro de Investigación Científica y Educación Superior de Ensenada, Ensenada, B.C.,
- 41 Mexico
- 42 <sup>7</sup> Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH, Dag Hammarsskjöldweg 1-5, Eschborn, Germany

43 Abstract (250 words): Evaluating historical changes in the exploitation of marine organisms is a key challenge in fisheries ecology and marine conservation. In the Eastern Pacific, marine turtles were 44 exploited for millennia before systematic monitoring began less than 50 years ago. Using ethnographic 45 and historical data, we generated a detailed reconstruction of the East Pacific green sea turtle (Chelonia 46 47 *mydas*) fishery in Mexico's Baja California peninsula, from 1700 to 1990. Sea turtles from the region's 48 important feeding areas were a staple food source from the earliest phases of human occupation, dating 49 back at least 12,000 years. In contrast with regions such as the Caribbean, small human populations and 50 limited market access resulted in apparently sustainable turtle harvests until the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> 51 century. We found that the estimated annual catch between 1960 and 1980 exceeded the estimated 52 annual catches of the previous 250 years by an order of magnitude, leading to the collapse of the 53 fishery and the depletion of the green turtle population. A total ban on sea turtle captures in 1990, comprehensive nesting beach protection, and significant conservation efforts resulted in increases in 54 breeding females on nesting beaches and catch rates in scientific monitoring on main feeding grounds 55 56 since the early 2000s. This provides a positive outlook for this once-depleted population segment. 57 Although further research is needed to evaluate current conservation status, we have identified a date, 58 between 1950 and 1960, which can serve as a reliable temporal reference for future evaluations of 59 historical baseline abundance in this region.

60

61 Keywords: *Chelonia mydas*, Data-poor fisheries, East Pacific green turtle, Ethnographic data,
62 Fisheries reconstruction, Marine historical ecology, Sea turtle fisheries

# 63 TABLE OF CONTENTS

05	TADLE OF CONTENTS
64	Introduction
65	Methods
66	Study area
67	Ethnography
68	Historiography
69	Consumption reconstruction
70	Commercial reconstruction
71	Results
72	Pre-Hispanic Period
73	Mission Period
74	Secular Period
75	Modern Fisheries Period
76	Discussion
77	Subsistence versus market economy
78	Turtles in Time
79	Past and Present
80	Implications for management
81	Methodological and epistemological challenges
82	Acknowledgements
83	Conflict of Interest
84	References
85	Tables
86	Figure Legends
87	Figures

#### 4 INTRODUCTION

Evaluating long-term trends in marine animal exploitation is fundamental to understanding the current 5 status and trajectories of fisheries and marine megafauna (Jackson 2001; Harnik et al. 2012; Pauly 6 7 1995; Sáenz-Arroyo et al. 2005b). Globally, sea turtles have been exploited for millennia; however, monitoring time frames in the central and eastern Pacific span less than 50 years (Balazs and 8 9 Chaloupka 2004; Bjorndal and Jackson 2003; Kittinger et al. 2013; Seminoff 2010). In data-poor 10 scenarios such as this one, historical data and fishers' knowledge are crucial to understanding change 11 over time (McClenachan et al. 2012, 2015; Schwerdtner Máñez et al. 2014; Sáenz-Arroyo et al. 2006; 12 Sáenz-Arroyo and Revollo-Fernández 2016; Thurstan et al. 2015). The importance of non-traditional data has increasingly gained attention since the publication of pioneering research such as Jackson and 13 colleagues' (2001) work on the collapse of coastal ecosystems, McClenachan and Kittinger's (2012) 14 15 reconstruction of reef fish harvests in Hawaii and Florida from historical and archaeological sources, 16 and Sáenz-Arroyo and colleagues' (2005a) use of fishers' perception to reassess the status of the Gulf 17 grouper fishery in the Gulf of California. By using historical sources and fishers' knowledge, 18 researchers have reconstructed fisheries where little or no other data were available (McClenachan et 19 al. 2015; Schwerdtner Máñez et al. 2014; Thurstan et al. 2015). Furthermore, analysing fisheries within 20 a historical perspective can shed light on processes of social and economic change that affect long-term 21 sustainability (McClenachan and Kittinger 2012).

Humans have used sea turtles for food and medicine since they first arrived in the central desert of the Baja California peninsula, in what is now Mexico, at least 12,000 years ago (Des Lauriers 2011; Early Capistrán 2014b). In this region of arid lands and productive seas (Águila Ramírez *et al.* 2003; Álvarez-Borrego 2002), marine resources in general, and East Pacific green turtles in particular, have been essential to human survival. During the 20<sup>th</sup> century they were referred to as "the black steer" of

27 Baja California, "the staple and chief source of meat in the barren peninsula" (Caldwell 1962). Sea 28 turtle exploitation in Baja California has a unique historical trajectory, marked by small human 29 populations and relative isolation from global markets (Early Capistrán 2014b). This case provides an 30 interesting contrast to regions like the Caribbean, where intensive capture for export led to important declines by the 18th century (McClenachan et al. 2006). The singular relationship between humans and 31 32 turtles, sustained over thousands of years, makes the important green turtle feeding areas of the Baja California peninsula (hereafter, Baja California) an ideal case study for long term interactions between 33 34 humans and marine organisms.

35 The East Pacific green turtle (Chelonia mydas, Cheloniidae) is a regionally distinct population of the circumtropical species *Chelonia mydas*, which is globally the most abundant large marine 36 37 herbivore (Bjorndal 1997; Chaloupka et al. 2008; Dutton et al. 2008; NOAA Fisheries 2016). Green 38 turtles are long-lived, slow-maturing, highly fecund, and have a complex life history, occupying 39 various habitats separated by hundreds or thousands of kilometres during different life stages (Seminoff 40 2004). The East Pacific population segment nests mainly in the state of Michoacán, in Central Mexico, 41 and to a lesser degree on the Revillagigedo and Tres Marías islands, and spends its juvenile phase and 42 parts of its adult life-span in warm and temperate foraging areas hundreds of kilometres away in the 43 coastal lagoons and bays of Northwest Mexico (Alvarado Díaz et al. 2001; Koch et al. 2007; Seminoff 44 2010). This East Pacific green turtle (hereafter, green turtle) population declined substantially from the 45 1960s to the 1990s due to heavy fishing pressure (Clifton et al. 1995; Seminoff et al. 2008), and is 46 currently listed as Endangered by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) and by the Mexican government (Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales 2010; Seminoff 47 48 2004). Thanks to a strict fisheries ban in place since 1990 and important conservation efforts since

then, the population at nesting beaches and foraging areas has increased, and it was reclassified from
Endangered to Vulnerable under the United States Endangered Species Act in 2016 (NOAA 2016).

51 While the green turtle's complex life history —coupled with the lack of detailed, long-term 52 monitoring data— currently prevents reliable calculations of past population levels, fisheries 53 reconstruction could enable the evaluation of human impact over broad time scales and indicate possible inflection points in abundance. In cases such as these, fisheries reconstruction provides insight 54 into unrecorded or unassessed human impacts (McClenachan and Kittinger 2012; Pauly and Zeller 55 56 2016; Zeller et al. 2006). Likewise, non-traditional data sources are a vital complement to scientific data for understanding long-term change, and have often been incorporated in the understanding of 57 data-poor fisheries, providing valuable insights into fisheries reconstruction, history, management, and 58 59 status that may otherwise not be available (Johannes 1981; Kittinger et al. 2011; Sadovy and Cheung 60 2004; Sáenz-Arroyo et al. 2006).

61 Worldwide, studies incorporating non-traditional data have revealed important processes of 62 long-term change which would be unaccounted for if analyses were limited to experimental data 63 (Jackson et al. 2001; Lotze and Worm 2009; McClenachan et al. 2015). We have expanded upon 64 previous work in fisheries reconstruction and marine historical ecology by incorporating ethnography 65 -a staple method in social anthropology (Bernard 2011) which allowed us to reconstruct, in detail, 66 sea turtle captures in a key region over 290 years. Using place-based empirical knowledge -gathered 67 over generations of direct empirical observation (Aikenhead 2006; Cajete 2004)-, historical records 68 and other non-traditional data compiled through ethnography and historiography, we have developed a 69 detailed reconstruction of the green turtle fishery at two locations in the central desert of Baja California, from 1700-1990; for 93% of the chronology, no other data existed. The environmental 70 71 history of green turtle capture in the central desert differs substantially from that of other regions, such as the Caribbean or the Central Pacific, and provides an opportunity to evaluate the effects of different historical trajectories on long-term human impacts on sea turtles (McClenachan and Kittinger 2012; McClenachan *et al.* 2006; Kittinger *et al.* 2013). We expect that the incorporation of ethnography into fisheries reconstruction will be useful for evaluating human impacts on marine organisms when scientific and/or capture data are scarce or non-existent, as is the case of many fisheries on a global scale.

78

# 79 METHODS

80 Study area

At a regional level, the study area comprises approximately 14,400  $km^2$  in the central desert, and 81 comprises two important C. mydas feeding areas with key contributions to the 20th century fishery in 82 the modern-day communities of Bahía de los Ángeles, Baja California (28°57' N, 113°33' W), on the 83 Gulf of California, and Guerrero Negro, Baja California Sur (27°57' N, 114°3' W), on the shores of 84 Laguna Ojo de Liebre (hereafter, Laguna Ojo de Liebre). These constitute the two primary study 85 86 locations. Both sites are warm-temperate feeding areas where C. mvdas is the predominant sea turtle 87 species, have a shared cultural and economic history, and were important contributors to the commercial green turtle fishery during the 20th century (Early Capistrán 2014b; Koch 2013; Seminoff 88 89 et al. 2002). The study area also includes the adjacent regions historically under the administration of the missions of San Borja and Santa Gertrudis in the 18<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> centuries. Additional fieldwork was 90 91 conducted at said mission sites and the former mining communities of El Arco and Campo Alemán 92 (Figure 1).

93

# 94 *Ethnography*

There is an important body of work on the use of fishers' knowledge (Johannes et al. 2000; Sáenz-95 Arrovo et al. 2005a; Sáenz-Arrovo and Revollo-Fernández 2016) and local ecological knowledge for 96 fisheries research (Beaudreau and Levin 2014; Huntington 2000). We have built upon the methods 97 98 developed by Sáenz-Arrovo and colleagues (2005a,b) for quantifying fishers' knowledge gathered 99 through semi-structured interviews, by incorporating an ethnographic approach —in terms of methods and epistemology (Bernard 2011; Denzin and Lincoln 1994; Guber 2015)- to data collection and 100 101 analysis. We used ethnography to gather detailed, long-term information which informed our parameter calculations, provided hard data for capture reconstructions, and helped provide broad narratives of 102 103 environmental and social change. Furthermore, we hope to advance the integration of culture to marine 104 historical ecology (Anderson 2006; Bolster 2006; Van Sittert 2005).

105 Ethnography is a holistic approach to the study of a social system, which includes qualitative and quantitative methods and has distinctive epistemological characteristics (Bernard 2011). 106 Ethnographers study social systems, rather than isolated phenomena (Harris 2001; Guber 2015). This 107 108 requires an open-ended approach, in which data are gathered broadly over topic areas and new 109 questions are continually developed over the course of fieldwork (Guber 2015). Ethnographers attempt 110 to understand social systems from an "emic" perspective: from the ethnographic contributors' point of 111 view, based on their explanations, categories, and observations. This requires establishing rapport with 112 communities, working with sensitivity to the social group's rules and norms, and developing an 113 understanding of the social system on its own terms. Ethnographers also include "etic" perspectives: 114 the researcher's accounts, categories, and explanations (Harris 2001). This requires ethnographers to collect data, comment on both facts and data collection, and carry out meta-analysis of both processes 115

(Table 1). These analyses and meta-analyses help identify biases, both those of the ethnographiccontributors and the researchers (Bernard 2011; Guber 2015).

118 Ethnographers use a varied toolkit distinguished by participant observation, in which the researchers immerse themselves in a social group as an active participant during extended periods of 119 time (weeks, months or years) (Table 1). Over the course of 106 working days and 1,696 person-hours 120 of ethnographic fieldwork in 2012 and 2013, two of us (M.M.E.C. and G.G.M.) conducted participant 121 observation and informal (n=186), semi-structured (n=33), and in-depth interviews (n=20) in the 122 communities of Bahía de los Ángeles and Guerrero Negro, compiled 2003 pages of field journals, 123 video recordings (n=63), audio recordings (n=59), historical photographs (n=31), ethnographic 124 photographs (n=212), and collaborative maps (n=32). All audio recordings, video recordings, and 125 126 photographs were gathered with contributors' informed verbal consent. We recorded field notes and journals in as much detail as possible and covered all observations, beyond the principal research 127 topics. We systematized, coded, and indexed all data captured in the field, and separated observations 128 129 from analysis and commentary (Denzin and Lincoln 1994; Bernard 2011) (Table 1). (Supp. Info., Sec. 130 1, Table S1). Fieldwork was carried out in accordance with the Code of Ethics of the Latin American Society of Ethnobiology (SOLAE) (Sociedad Latinoamericana de Etnobiología 2014). 131

Through a deliberate hierarchical sampling method, we worked in-depth with experts on green turtle fishing, commerce and processing (Bernard 2011). In each community, we interviewed over 90% of living fishers who participated in the legal sea turtle fishery before 1990, using the above-mentioned methods for broad data collection and integrating recurring questions based on those of Sáenz-Arroyo and colleagues (2005a,b) to obtain systematic quantitative data on sea turtle captures (Tables 2, 4, and 5; Supp. Info., Sec. 1, Table S2). We used verification methods such as cross-questioning, independent corroboration of data between contributors and data sources (oral, written, visual, etc.), and electronic data capture, as well as familiarity generated by extended stays in communities (Bernard 2011; Denzin and Lincoln 1994; LeCompte and Goetz 1982). This multifaceted approach generated detailed and cross-referenced information that could not be obtained through closed questions or surveys alone, and helped identify biases by analysing data within the social, cultural, and historical context in which they were generated (Bernard 2011; Denzin and Lincoln 1994; LeCompte and Goetz 1982).

144 We must point out that ethnography has important limitations. Social systems are inherently complex, and the number of variables involved in their observation and analysis makes specific 145 146 approaches to each study an inherent necessity in ethnographic research: different tools and theoretical approximations are required in each case (Bernard 2011; Guber 2015). For example, if we were to 147 148 conduct this same study across the Gulf of California, with the Comcáac (Seri) nation, we would need 149 at least a functional grasp of a new language (Cmiique Iitom) and would require far more time in the field (a year or more) to understand "emic" categories and touch upon the subtleties of deeply 150 151 embedded cultural links between humans and turtles (Bernard 2011; Nabhan 2003). Ethnography also 152 requires long-time spans for fieldwork, data processing (transcription, indexing, categorizing, and 153 coding), and analysis (Bernard 2011; Denzin and Lincoln 1994). Finally, ethnographic data are 154 primarily qualitative (Bernard 2011). However, by integrating this approach with existing methods for 155 quantifying fishers' knowledge (Sáenz-Arroyo et al. 2005a,b), we hope to expand upon the 156 methodological frameworks available in marine historical ecology.

157

158 Historiography

Building upon the methods of marine historical ecology (McClenachan *et al.* 2015; Sáenz-Arroyo *et al.*2006; Thurstan *et al.* 2015), we carried out archival research in 24 libraries and online archives,
analysing texts in Spanish, English, French, Latin, and Classical Greek (Supp. Info., Sec. 2). The last

two languages were included because (a) many early descriptions of the American continent were written in Latin, as it was a common language of Early Modern scholarship (Gordin 2015); and (b) in order to better understand the context in which these documents were produced, we consulted works by Classical, Medieval, and Renaissance naturalists that informed the taxonomic categories and epistemological frameworks used by the Jesuit missionaries in their descriptions of the study area (see Supporting Information for a full list of historical and archaeological sources).

We consulted 263 historical documents. Using a strict selection process described in the 168 169 following paragraphs, we compiled 31% of these documents for in-depth analysis (n=83) and used 11% 170 as quantitative data sources (n=29). We compiled primary sources (n=57), as well as secondary sources and historical publications (n=26) covering dates from 1539-1976. We read documents critically, 171 172 analysing their internal and external validity based on hermeneutic and semiotic analysis (Denzin and Lincoln 1994), with sensitivity to the social, political, and historical context in which they were 173 generated and considering the impact of cultural contact, conquest, and colonialism as historical 174 175 processes that can bias texts (Brettell 1998). We identified sources of bias (observer bias, informer bias, 176 and authorial ethnocentrism) by systematically analysing who collected the data; how, why, under what 177 conditions the information was produced or collected; and towards whom the texts were directed (Brettell 1998; Bernard 2011; McClenachan et al. 2015). 178

We restricted quantitative data sources to first-hand accounts based on systematic observation pertaining to the study region or to warm-temperate *C. mydas* feeding areas in Baja California, using either primary sources or published compilations or scholarly works which met these criteria. These include birth and death records, historical census data, ships' logs, historical scientific literature, commercial records, customs records, and mining reports (Tables 3, 4, and 5; Supp. Info. Tables S4 and S6). This strict selection process limited quantitative data to a small number of robust sources. Primary sources not based on systematic observation (such as traveller's logs or letters) or related to a broader geographical scope (North-Eastern Pacific or Gulf of California) were read critically and used as qualitative references, along with secondary sources, historical, and historiographical publications (See Supp. Info. for a full list of historical and archaeological sources). We used qualitative sources to establish a long-term narrative and a theoretical framework for environmental change; to select and define analytical categories and parameters used for harvest reconstruction; to inform parameter calculations; and to corroborate information through comparative analysis.

192

#### 193 Consumption reconstruction

We compiled quantitative and qualitative data on sea turtle captures, consumption, processing and trade —as well as human population demographics—from ethnographic, historical, and archaeological sources (Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5) for four broad time periods: Pre-Hispanic (1700-1750), Mission (1750-1850), Secular (1850-1945), and Modern Fisheries (1945-1990). We used these data sources to calculate per capita and aggregate regional sea turtle consumption over time.

Using paleonutritional data, modern nutritional data, and ethnographic data we estimated per capita sea turtle consumption for the first three periods using the following equation adapted from Early Capistrán (2014b):

$$c_t = (Q_Y) I[(\lambda p)(1-\delta)]$$
(1)

Where  $c_t$  is the approximate annual per capita consumption of *C. mydas* (turtles person<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>) in year *t*, *Q* is approximate annual per capita meat consumption for a human population (*kg* person<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>),  $\gamma$  is the percentage of annual meat consumption from sea turtles,  $\lambda$  is the percentage of sea turtle tissue 206 consumed, *p* is the mean weight of a green sea turtle in the region (*kg* turtle<sup>-1</sup>), and  $\delta$  is the percentage 207 of change in weight due to processing (Table 4).

208 We used the mean nutritional value of muscle and adipose tissue of C. Mydas (864.3 kcal/kg) to calculate the contribution of sea turtles to local diets (González Olmedo et al. 2004). We calculated the 209 210 percentage of sea turtle tissue consumed ( $\lambda$ ) using percentage values grouped by category (fillet meat, offal, fats, etc.) from a commercial report of C. Mydas processing (Márquez et al. 1991), and summed 211 the categories used. Values for p were based on scientific monitoring data and corroborated with 212 213 ethnographic data. Additionally, we calculated  $\delta$  based on ethnographic data and food processing 214 research (ONU-FAO 1990). We calculated values for  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda$  for different time periods, adjusting for 215 varying dietary patterns among inland and coastal subpopulations. For Pre-Hispanic and Mission 216 Periods, we obtained parameter values from published archaeological research and historical sources; for the Secular and Modern Fisheries Periods, we used published nutritional research (Garry, R.C. et al. 217 1952; ONU-FAO 2003), historical documents, and ethnographic data (Tables 4 and 5) (detailed 218 219 descriptions of parameter calculations are available in Supp. Info., subsec. 3.1, Tables S3, S4, and S5).

220 For the Pre-Hispanic Period, we used paleonutritional data based on stable isotope analysis for 221 two Cochimí populations (Bahía de los Ángeles and Sierra de San Francisco) (King 1997), in 222 conjunction with ethnohistoric data on Pre-Hispanic diet in the central desert of Baja California 223 (Aschmann 1959) to calculate dietary composition. These sources register proportional consumption, 224 by weight and caloric density, of different food groups and edible taxa (marine vertebrates, marine 225 invertebrates, terrestrial fauna, legumes, etc.), including sea turtles. We correlated these data with 226 dietary data compiled from hunter-gatherers worldwide (Cordain et al. 2000) in order to obtain approximate calculations of dietary composition, in terms of Kg person<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> (Supp. Info., subsec. 227 228 3.1.2, Eq. S1). In this desert context, many staple plant foods were seasonal (cactus fruits from

*Lemairocereus thurberi* and *Machareocereus gummosus*) or required extensive processing (such as the hearts of agaves, *Agave spp.*, which are rendered edible only after roasting in pits for a minimum of a day) (Aschmann 1969, King 1997). In contrast, marine resources were productive and reliable, and made up a significant proportion of the diet (King 1997).

233 We calculated O by adding approximate annual consumption values for main sources of animal protein (marine vertebrates, marine invertebrates, and terrestrial animals) to obtain approximate annual 234 meat consumption for coastal (500 kg person<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) and inland populations (192 Kg person<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>), and 235 236 used interpolated weight and nutritional density values reported by King (1997) and Aschmann (1959) to calculate the percentage of annual meat consumption from sea turtles ( $\gamma$ ) (Table 4). The very high 237 values of animal protein consumption are consistent with a non-agricultural economy, based heavily on 238 the use of marine resources. We corroborated both Q and  $\gamma$  values with 19<sup>th</sup> century ethnographic 239 reports (McGee 1898) of the diet of the Comcáac (Seri), an indigenous nation of the Gulf of California, 240 which, like the Cochimí, had a hunting, gathering, and fishing economy in a desert landscape 241 242 (Aschmann 1959). Given the difficulty of quantifying dietary patterns in through the archaeological 243 record, in particular among hunter-gatherer groups whose diet varied widely in relation to resource availability, this should be considered a broad estimate. 244

For the Secular Period and Modern Fisheries Period, we based values and parameter consumption on ethnographic and historical data, and adjusted for varying dietary patterns at inland and coastal sites. Dietary patterns had shifted drastically by this period due to the introduction of extensive cattle ranching, small-scale horticulture, and non-perishable plant-based food items such as rice, beans, and wheat flour which became staple foods (Crosby 2010). We calculated annual per capita meat consumption by adjusting mean values for the Baja California peninsula reported by ONU-FAO (2003) for the reported caloric intake of miners, who made up most of the regional population (Garry, R.C. *et al.* 1952), such that  $Q=97 \ Kg$  person<sup>-1</sup> year <sup>-1</sup>. We calculated the percentage of consumed meat obtained from sea turtles ( $\gamma$ ) based on mean values of frequency of sea turtle consumption obtained through ethnographic research. In coastal communities, sea turtles were a staple protein source consumed up to three times per week ( $\gamma=43\%$ ), and an important source of dried meat in inland communities ( $\gamma=7\%$ ) (Table 4); other sources of protein included beef, fish, marine invertebrates, and wild game.

We estimated total annual consumption by multiplying per capita consumption by human population size using the following equation adapted from Early Capistrán (2014b):

$$C_t = c_t n_t \tag{2}$$

Where  $C_t$  is the aggregate sea turtle consumption by a human population during year t (turtles y<sup>-1</sup>) and 261  $n_t$  is human population size during year t (humans). For the Pre-Hispanic and Mission Periods, we used 262 263 demographic data from published archaeological research and historical sources (Supp. Info., subsec. 264 3.2.1, Eq., S2 Tables S3, S4, and S6). We calculated population change outside mission settlements by 265 interpolating late Pre-Hispanic population density data with mission records (Supp. Info., subsec. 266 3.2.1), For the Secular and Modern Fisheries Periods, we obtained demographic data from historical 267 documents and ethnographic sources (Supp. Info., subsec. 3.2.2; Tables S5 and S6). We reconstructed consumption until the approximate peak years of the commercial fishery (1965 in Bahía de los Ángeles 268 and 1975 in Laguna Ojo de Liebre) (All demographic calculations and population data are available in 269 270 Supp. Info, subsec. 3.2, Tables S7, S8, and S9).

We assumed that all captures correspond to *C. mydas* given the region's importance as a feeding area; regional and global market preference for the species; and the species' condition as the target of the 20<sup>th</sup> century commercial fishery in the study area, as confirmed by fishers and merchants (Early Capistrán 2014b; Márquez 1996; Seminoff 2010). While hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys* 

275 imbricata) were fished commercially in the Gulf of California for their shells, their taste was 276 considered inferior to green turtles, and they were not targeted for human consumption (Márquez 1996; 277 Sáenz-Arroyo et al. 2006) nor captured systematically in the study area (Early Capistrán 2014b). We 278 assumed that mean sea turtle weight was constant across time periods. We based our values on scientific monitoring data, corroborated with the mode weight reported by fishers as far back as 1940. 279 We make this assumption despite the possibility that size frequency declined with fishing effort 280 because we do not have sufficient data to adjust for this pattern. However, we consider it to be an 281 282 appropriate assumption given the limitations of the data.

We assumed that dietary patterns remained stable within each historical period, and that inland 283 and coastal subpopulations had distinct, but stable, dietary patterns. We assumed that sea turtle 284 consumption patterns remained stable from the Pre-Hispanic to the Mission Period for two reasons: (a) 285 the adverse conditions for agriculture resulted in famines rather than broad-scale dietary shifts 286 287 (Aschmann 1959; Rodríguez Tomp 2002) and (b) because of massive demographic loss during this period, the effect of contingent dietary changes would not have been significant for calculations. For 288 the Secular Period, we assumed that dietary patterns obtained from ethnographic data could be 289 290 extrapolated as far back as the 1850s, given the region's extreme geographic isolation and confirmation from ethnographic contributors that technological conditions and means of communication had 291 292 changed little between the 1950s and the previous two generations.

293

294 Commercial reconstruction

We used official landing records when available. However, official data exists only for a series of 20 years (1962-1982) at Bahía de los Ángeles and 20 years (1887 and 1917-1935) at Laguna Ojo de Liebre respectively. These 40 years of official fisheries data represent 7% of the cumulative chronology (290 years at each location, for a total of 580 years). For this reason, we relied primarily on historical and ethnographic data to reconstruct commercial captures, and our methods allowed us to develop a reconstruction where no other data were available. As different sources reported landings in different units (pounds, kilograms, and tonnes), all commercial captures were standardized to turtles  $y^{-1}$  by converting the annual catch volume to  $kg y^{-1}$  and dividing by *p*.

For the Secular Period, we reconstructed commercial captures from Laguna Ojo de Liebre using 303 304 multiple historical data sources. Sea turtles were captured opportunistically by whalers for food and 305 commerce (Drew et al. 2016; Henderson 1972). From 1858-1873, we used published whaling logbooks (Scammon 1970), shipping reports (Daily Alta California 1860, 1871), and published research on 306 307 whaling in Baja California (Henderson 1972; Vernon 2009) to compile data on whaling activity and estimate sea turtle captures by American and Russian whalers in Laguna Ojo de Liebre. We assumed 308 that reported catches were representative of the fleet, and that all catches corresponded to C. mydas 309 310 based on taste preferences (Henderson 1972) (See Supp. Info., Sec. 4, Eq. S3 for a detailed description 311 of data standardization). In order to calculate the approximate annual harvest by the whaling fleet in a 312 given year we developed the equation:

313

$$R_t = \mu_w s_t \tag{3}$$

Where  $R_t$  is the mean approximate annual harvest by the whaling fleet (turtles y <sup>-1</sup>) in year *t*,  $\mu_w$  is the mean approximate annual harvest per ship (turtles ship<sup>-1</sup> y <sup>-1</sup>), and  $s_t$  is the number of ships in the lagoon in year *t* (ships) (Table 6). We obtained vessel counts ( $s_t$ ) from records compiled by Henderson (1972), and used published logs and shipping reports to estimate catch ( $\mu_w$ ) [Daily Alta California 1860, 1871; Scammon 1859(1970)]. 319 For years 1887-1935, we used customs and landings data for green turtles imported to California from Mexico —almost exclusively from Baja California— to calculate approximate 320 321 commercial harvests (Karmelich 1935; Radcliffe 1922; True 1887), which we standardized to turtles y <sup>1</sup>. For most of the 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> century, turtle capture was opportunistic rather than the result of a 322 dedicated fishery (Averett 1920; Karmelich 1935; O'Donnell 1974), and documentation for this period 323 was scarce. Import and export records provide centralized information, which we analysed in 324 325 conjunction with landing reports and commercial publications. We used historical records to establish a narrative of changes in capture, market dynamics, and spatial extent, and to estimate the proportion of 326 landed green turtles captured at Laguna Ojo de Liebre over the time period evaluated (Table 6) 327 (detailed description of data standardization in Supp. Info., Sec. 5). Due to the lack of documentation of 328 turtle catch over this time period (O'Donnell 1974), our estimate should be considered conservative. 329

For the Modern Fisheries Period, we used official *C. mydas* landing data for the late- $20^{\text{th}}$ century commercial fishery at Bahía de los Ángeles (Márquez cited in Seminoff *et al.* 2008), dating from 1962-1982. Landings were reported in metric tonnes and standardized to turtles y<sup>-1</sup>. Landing data were not available for this period at Laguna Ojo de Liebre. Based on ethnographic data, we assumed that shipment volumes were representative of commercial captures and that all captures corresponded to *C. mydas*. We calculated the number of turtles shipped annually from the community to urban centres by developing the equation:

337

$$M_t = V_t K \tag{4}$$

Where  $M_t$  is the number of turtles shipped annually (turtles y<sup>-1</sup>),  $V_t$  is the approximate number of annual shipments (shipments y <sup>-1</sup>) during year *t*, and *K* is the carrying capacity of the vehicles (turtles shipment  $^{-1}$ ). *K* was a constant of 60 turtles, and is the mode reported by sea turtle merchants and fishers.  $V_t$  was calculated from ethnographic data; we used parameters obtained from ethnographic data to adjust for seasonality in captures and changes in shipment frequency due to changes in infrastructure over time
(All calculation procedures and parameter values are available in Supp. Info., Sec. 6, Eq. S4, S5, and
S6; Table S10).

345

# 346 **RESULTS**

We estimate that sea turtle consumption remained stable between 1700 and 1950, before reaching an inflection point in the 1960s. Estimated annual captures over the 20 year period between 1960 and 1980, eclipsed the estimated annual captures of the previous 280 years by one order of magnitude in both locations.

351

# 352 Pre-Hispanic Period (1700-1750)

During the Pre-Hispanic Period, nomadic hunter-gatherers from the Yuman-Cochimí language family 353 relied heavily on marine resources as a source of protein (Aschmann 1959; King 1997; Laylander 354 355 2010), and sea turtles appear as a food source in the archaeological record since the earliest phases of 356 human occupation, at least 12,000 years ago (Des Lauriers 2006; Ritter 2012). Stable isotope analysis 357 and ethnohistoric data suggest that for Pre-Hispanic populations in the central desert of Baja California, sea turtles comprised 3% of animal protein consumed in inland regions, and as much as 14% of animal 358 359 protein consumed in coastal areas (Aschmann 1959; King 1997). Marine turtles also appear in artwork and burials, suggesting symbolic or religious importance (Ritter 1998, 2010b,a). 360

Our earliest estimate of sea turtle consumption corresponds to two generations before the arrival of European missionaries (*circa* 1700), based on available paleonutritional and demographic data (Aschmann 1959; King 1997) (Figures 2a, 2b). The lack of Pre-Hispanic demographic data from the

central desert limits our ability to reconstruct sea turtle consumption before the early 18<sup>th</sup> century. 364 However, it is likely that post-Pleistocene populations were small and widely dispersed, and within an 365 order of magnitude of those recorded in early ethnohistoric documents (Laylander 2010). 366 367 Archaeological and ethnohistoric sources estimate a population of 4,000 people in the central desert and around 12,000 in the entire peninsula- at the time of European contact between the late 17th and 368 mid-18<sup>th</sup> century (Aschmann 1959; Laylander 2010; Rodríguez Tomp 2002). We estimated annual 369 consumption values of 535 and 740 turtles y<sup>-1</sup> for Bahía de los Ángeles and Laguna Ojo de Liebre, 370 371 respectively (Figures 2a, 2b; Table 4).

372

#### 373 Mission Period (1750-1850)

374 Jesuit, Dominican, and Franciscan missionaries —envoys of the Spanish Crown— were the first Europeans to establish permanent settlement in Baja California, nearly 200 years after the Spanish 375 376 conquest of the Aztec empire in mainland Mexico (Crosby 1994; León Portilla 2001). The Jesuits in 377 particular were among the intellectual elite of their time — versed in philosophy, theology, and natural 378 sciences. As such, they left detailed accounts of the social life and natural surroundings of the missions, which had pragmatic value in the logic of Spanish imperial expansion (Crosby 1994). The mission 379 380 system was based on the forced sedentarization of the native hunter-gatherers which, coupled with 381 disease and unfavourable conditions for agriculture, led to mass mortality of the indigenous peoples (Table 5). Within two generations of the founding of the missions of Santa Gertrudis and San Borja 382 (Figure 1), the population of the central desert was reduced by 90% (Rodríguez Tomp 2002), and Pre-383 Hispanic populations levels were not re-established until the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century (Early Capistrán 2014b). 384 385 Detailed baptismal and census records from the missions of San Borja and Santa Gertrudis allowed us to estimate demographic change and sea turtle consumption. During this period, the massive loss of 386

human life reduced sea turtle harvests to levels lower than those of the Pre-Hispanic Period, and
reduced pressure on sea turtle populations for an extended period of time (Figures 2a, 2b; Supp. Info.,
3.2.1, Eq. S2).

While colonization and agriculture would have caused important dietary shifts marked by increased consumption of plant foods, this period was characterized by famine, and dietary patterns responded largely to the availability of food sources, sea turtles being chief among them [Baegert 1761(1982)]. In the context of mass human mortality, we consider that the effect of contingent dietary shifts over this period would not have been significant for calculations. However, we recognize that our estimates for this period may be high, as sea turtle consumption may have been reduced as a result of sedentarization.

397 Taxonomic distinctions between sea turtle species in this period were blurry. Categories used by Jesuits and Spanish naturalists overlap with the three taxa defined by medieval naturalists: the 398 hawksbill (E. imbricata) and leatherback (D. coriacea) were recognized as distinct species, and all 399 others were grouped within a single category [del Barco 1757(1988); Longinos Martínez 1787(1994); 400 401 Rondeletti 1554]. However, we assumed that the bulk of sea turtle consumption consisted of green 402 turtles, as this species is and was the most common at the study sites (Koch 2013; López-Castro et al. 403 2010) and is considered the most desirable by modern-day local populations (Early Capistrán 2014a,b; Mancini and Koch 2009). Estimated annual consumption ranged from 8-757 turtles y<sup>-1</sup>, and median 404 harvest values for this period were 390 and 93 turtles y<sup>-1</sup> in Bahía de los Ángeles and Laguna Ojo de 405 406 Liebre, respectively (Figures 2a, 2b; Table 4).

407

408 Secular Period (1850-1945)

409 After Mexican independence, the secularization of mission lands in Baja California led to large-scale 410 commercial concessions to private, mostly foreign, companies (León Portilla and Piñera Ramírez 2011; 411 Romero Gil et al. 2003) (Table 5). The region was integrated into global capitalism, through an 412 extractive economy tied to the international demand for commodities like whale oil, gold, and seafood 413 (Henderson 1972); however, few permanent settlements were established and population levels 414 remained low for much of this period as a result of demographic collapse during the Mission Period 415 (Henderson 1972; León Portilla and Piñera Ramírez 2011). Historical records such as whaling logs, mining reports, scientific reports, and census data allowed for a detailed reconstruction of sea turtle 416 harvests during this period. While sea turtles were caught commercially during this period, average 417 annual capture remained within an order of magnitude of the previous century with the exception of 418 419 two outlying years (1919 and 1925) in which a mining population boom and a short-lived commercial 420 enterprise caused very brief increases in capture.

421 On the Pacific coast, whales, guano, seals, otters, and salt were exploited intermittently by 422 American and Russian fleets (Henderson 1972; Vernon 2009). In 1857, whaler Charles Scammon was 423 the first navigator to breach the gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus) breeding grounds at Laguna Ojo de Liebre (known in English as Scammon's Lagoon). From 1858 to 1873, whalers flocked to the 424 425 previously untouched whaling grounds (Henderson 1972). While sea turtles were not the main target 426 species, they were captured opportunistically for subsistence and commerce (Drew et al. 2016), and 427 green turtles from Laguna Ojo de Liebre and the Pacific coast of Baja California were sold at luxury restaurants in San Francisco and as far away as Chicago (Daily Alta California 1860, 1871; O'Donnell 428 429 1974). Green turtles, in particular, were considered a delicacy in the United States and Britain, and had 430 been exploited commercially in the Caribbean since the 1700s for sale in cities like Boston, New York, and London (Anson 1748; Jackson et al. 2001; McClenachan et al. 2006). Due to the opportunistic 431

432 nature of turtle capture, harvest was highly variable. However, given the intermittent and short-lived 433 whaling activity —which ended in less than 20 years as gray whale populations collapsed— we 434 estimate that overall catch by whalers was relatively low: the estimated median value for anual 435 commercial harvest from Laguna Ojo de Liebre during this period was 43 turtles  $y^{-1}$  (Table 6).

436 The California gold rush drew attention to Baja California, and in the late 19th and early 20th century gold, silver, and copper mines were tapped by American, British, and Mexican investors 437 [Goldbaum 1918(1971); Romero Gil et al. 2003]. Mining led to massive demographic shifts through a 438 "boom and bust" economy, in which cities were established around veins and abandoned as mineral 439 440 resources dwindled (Early Capistrán 2014b; Romero Gil et al. 2003). Sea turtles were an important source of protein in mining communities, mainly in the form of salted meat and jerky. This processing 441 442 method used only fillet meat, which lost up to 80% of its volume due to processing. Additionally, in contrast with fresh turtle consumption, edible organs and most fats were discarded. This processing 443 444 pattern led to increased local consumption compared to previous years, which is particularly noticeable 445 in 1925, when the mining towns of El Arco and Calmallí reached a peak population of approximately 446 1,000 residents (Figures 2a, 2b; Table 4).

447 Between World Wars I and II, sea turtles were fished commercially for export to California, 448 U.S.A., from the Pacific Coast of Baja California in years 1917-1923 and 1927-1932 (Averett 1920; 449 Nelson 1922). As mining and railroad fortunes accumulated in California, investors tried their hand at 450 importing East Pacific green turtles to high-end restaurants in San Francisco and San Diego. Large 451 investments were made, including a canning facility in San Diego. This enterprise ran at full capacity 452 from 1919 to 1921, when the schooner Catarina shipped up to 1,000 turtles a month from Laguna Ojo de Liebre during peak seasons (Averett 1920; Karmelich 1935; Nelson 1922) (Table 6). The magnitude 453 454 of captures generated by this venture briefly raised concerns about the future viability of the fishery (Nelson 1922; O'Donnell 1974). However, the schooner shipments to San Diego ended in the early
1920s, presumably due to a lack of market demand in California, and as a result landings were reduced
substantially (Karmelich 1935; O'Donnell 1974).

By the 1930s, turtle landings in California were limited to "one or two boats" that occasionally 458 459 made shipments to San Diego, "but these are so spasmodic that a constant market cannot be maintained, with the result that the fishermen find it difficult to dispose of their catches whether large 460 or small" (Karmelich 1935). An account from 1931 describes the landing of 50 green turtles from 461 Scammon's Lagoon at San Diego, on board the fishing boat "Vigilant". For 20 days, the crew "strove 462 valiantly to dispose of the fare", but eventually 41 of the 50 turtles were shipped back to Mexico for 463 lack of buyers, two were sold to "select dining resorts" in San Diego, and the rest were "butchered on 464 465 board and retailed from the deck to Mexicans who came down for a piece of their favorite seafood" (The West Coast Fisheries 1931). The venture was described as "a failure, financially, and will not be 466 repeated" (The West Coast Fisheries 1931). This is consistent with a reduction of sea turtle 467 468 consumption in the United States towards the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century (Freedman 2007). With the exception of the outlying year 1919, when approximately 2686 turtles from Laguna Ojo de Liebre were imported to 469 California, we estimate that annual commercial harvest in the early 20th century remained within an 470 471 order of magnitude of captures in the past centuries (Table 6).

Local subsistence captures were carried out with harpoons, from wooden vessels powered by oars or paddles. Several factors limited fishing efficiency: the harpooners' ability (skill limited the number of turtles potentially caught per trip); weather, tides, and lunar phases (their status limited the days when harpooning was viable: ideal conditions required calm seas and winds on a neap-tide, and moderate moonlight); propulsion (which determined trip duration and spatial extent of fishing); navigational knowledge and experience (which was based on triangulation, dead-reckoning, and 478 celestial observations with limited instruments and required great expertise); and vessel capacity (open
479 wooden vessels held no more than 20 turtles).

480 Additionally, commercial capacity was inhibited due to a limited market access because of (a) the isolation of the fishing sites (there were no urban population centres within 500km), and (b) lack of 481 transportation and communications infrastructure including roads and telephones, respectively. In 482 coastal communities, capture was limited to what could be used, and practically none of the turtle was 483 wasted: meat, offal, and blood were all consumed, and even the carapace could be boiled down to a 484 gelatinous consistency and eaten, while oil was rendered for cooking and medicinal purposes. Bones 485 were boiled in broth and then given to domestic dogs. The head and skin were the only by-products not 486 considered fit for human ingestion, and were left out for dogs and covotes. Consumption patterns with 487 minimal waste continued to be the norm in fishing communities throughout the 20<sup>th</sup> century. Sea turtle 488 consumption ranged from 1-1682 turtles  $y^{-1}$ ; with the maximum value corresponding to the year 1925. 489 Median harvest values for local consumption were 71 turtles y<sup>-1</sup> in Bahía de los Ángeles and 505 and in 490 491 Laguna Ojo de Liebre (Figures 2a, 2b; Tables 4, 6).

492 C. mydas nests mainly on tropical beaches, and nesting activity in the warm-temperate study area 493 is rare (Koch 2013; Seminoff 2004). As a result, eggs were not traditionally consumed, and only 9% of 494 fishers recalled having tasted sea turtle eggs at some point. Additionally, areas surrounding key nesting 495 beaches in the Mexican Pacific were geographically isolated and sparsely populated until the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. For example, there were no permanent human settlements near the most im-496 497 portant green turtle nesting beaches of Colola nor Maruata, in Michoacán, until the 1950s, and egg har-498 vests at these key nesting beaches were minimal (Alvarado and Figueroa 1992; Clifton et al. 1995; 499 Márquez 1996).

500

#### 501 Modern fisheries Period (1945-1990)

Urban growth along the Mexico-U.S. border increased demand for sea turtle products: from 1940 to 502 503 1970, the population of the state of Baja California increased by 1100%, mostly in cities along the Mexico-U.S. border such as Tijuana, Ensenada and Mexicali (Instituto Nacional de Estadística, 504 505 Geografía e Informática 2015), which became the main markets for green turtle products (Figure 1; Figure 3). Fishing and commercial capacity grew thanks to new technologies: gillnets eliminated the 506 need for skilled harpooners, increasing catch efficiency; fiberglass vessels boosted carrying capacity to 507 508 30 or more turtles per boat; and outboard motors greatly increased the spatial and temporal extent of 509 fishing. The Transpeninsular Highway, inaugurated in 1974, shortened the trip to the Mexico-U.S. border from two weeks to two days, greatly increasing market access (Early Capistrán 2014b). 510

Harvest peaked in the late 1960s and early 1970s, as estimated annual catches exceeded those the past 250 years by an order of magnitude (Figures 2a, 2b). During this period, we estimate that the median harvest value for local consumption at Bahía de los Ángeles was 282 turtles  $y^{-1}$ , compared to a median commercial harvest of 2,370 turtles  $y^{-1}$ . At Laguna Ojo de Liebre, the median harvest value for local consumption was 922 turtles  $y^{-1}$ , in contrast with a median commercial harvest of 5,220 turtles  $y^{-1}$ (Figures 2a, 2b; Table 4).

517 Unregulated harvests led to swift declines in green turtle abundance in the Eastern Pacific, 518 reflected in nesting data and descriptions of population levels. Gravid females were captured in the 519 fishery and, simultaneously, settlements and roads were built around key nesting beaches in Michoacán 520 that had previously been unpopulated or harvested at subsistence levels (Clifton *et al.* 1995; Márquez 521 1996). During the 1960s and 1970s, close to 100% of eggs were harvested until index beaches were 522 protected in 1980 (Clifton *et al.* 1995; Márquez 1996). While further information on recruitment 523 patterns and stock composition is needed to directly evaluate the impact of egg harvest on *C. mydas*  populations in the study area (Bjorndal and Bolten 2008; Casale and Heppell 2016; Koch 2013), this
process undoubtedly contributed to declines in abundance.

State intervention increased throughout the 1970s through license restrictions, seasonal bans, nesting beach protection, and re-population programs (Early Capistrán 2010; Márquez 1996; Seminoff *et al.* 2008). Unfortunately, these efforts came too late: the commercial green turtle fishery collapsed in the early 1980s (Figures 2a, 2b) (Seminoff *et al.* 2008). A nominal ban on captures of *C. mydas* in 1983 was followed by a total ban on sea turtle captures in 1990, which remains in effect today (Márquez 1996; Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales 2010).

532

# 533 **DISCUSSION**

534 We quantified green turtle consumption and commercial harvest in the central desert of Baja California 535 from 1700 to 1990 through the systematic use of non-traditional data such as ethnography and archives. 536 We found that estimated annual catches in the 20 year period between 1960 and 1980 exceeded those 537 of the previous centuries by an order of magnitude. This led to the collapse of the local green sea turtle 538 population and, in consequence, of the fishery (Seminoff et al. 2008). While estimating historical green 539 turtle population levels is beyond the scope of this paper due to the species' complex life history and migratory patterns, we consider human impact to be an indicator of important shifts in abundance 540 541 levels.

When all sea turtle captures were banned in 1990, the population had been greatly diminished: Caldwell (1963) reported 500 green turtles landed over just three weeks in Bahía de los Ángeles in 1962, but fewer than 200 turtles were landed from 1981-1985, and just over 300 were observed during the first ten years of scientific monitoring between 1994 and 2004 (Seminoff *et al.* 2008; Seminoff 546 2010). Although this simple comparison does not account for the large differences in fishing effort 547 between the periods, it is clear that green turtle populations had been severely depleted by the time 548 monitoring efforts began (Seminoff *et al.* 2008). Meanwhile, nesting at index shorelines plummeted. 549 For example, at Colola beach in Michoacán nesting dropped from approximately 15,000 nesting 550 females per year in the 1960s and early 1970s to around 200 nesting females per year in the late 1980s 551 (Alvarado Díaz *et al.* 2001; Clifton *et al.* 1995; Delgado-Trejo 2016).

Our reconstruction suggests that, at the two locations in the study area, sea turtle harvest 552 553 remained relatively small and stable from 1700 to around 1950. Although we cannot quantify harvests 554 further back in time, it is likely that hunter-gatherer populations in the peninsula remained within an order of magnitude of variation from the Early Holocene onward (Laylander 2010), suggesting that the 555 late 20<sup>th</sup> century fishery may have eclipsed thousands of years of captures. This is supported by reports 556 of large captures along the coasts of Baja California in the 19<sup>th</sup> century and well into the 20<sup>th</sup> century. 557 For example, in 1889 the steamer Albatross reported "a very remarkable catch" of 162 green turtles in a 558 559 single haul of a 600 foot long seine in Bahía Tortugas, approximately 75 km southwest of Laguna Ojo 560 de Liebre on the Pacific Coast (Townsend 1916). In 1920, Averett reported a catch of 350 green turtles over three days in Laguna Ojo de Liebre (Averett 1920). In Bahía de los Ángeles, several fishers 561 reported occasional high captures limited only by their vessel's carrying capacity. One fisher 562 563 remembered his crew filling a seven-tonne capacity boat with approximately 120 green turtles in just 564 one night, using a single 40 fathom net, in 1960.

565 Until the 1960s, sea turtle fisheries around Mexico were almost exclusively dedicated to 566 subsistence captures (Márquez 1996). Additionally, areas surrounding key nesting beaches along the 567 Mexican Pacific were geographically isolated and sparsely populated until the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> 568 century (Alvarado and Figueroa 1992; Clifton *et al.* 1995; Márquez 1996). These conditions restricted direct captures and egg harvests to subsistence levels, and it is likely that region-wide anthropogenic impacts were also limited until this time. Therefore, the oldest commercial fishers may have observed a level of abundance within an order of magnitude of Pre-Hispanic times. While calculating historical population levels is beyond the scope of this paper, future research could build on these methods in order to estimate past abundance in this time frame, around the 1950s and early 1960s, in order to obtain references of historical baseline abundance.

575

#### 576 Subsistence versus market economy

Estimated annual sea turtle capture increased by an order of magnitude due to demographic and 577 economic shifts, both at regional and international scales. Furthermore, technologies such as gillnets, 578 579 outboard motors, and fibreglass vessels increased fishing efficiency. Additionally, improved infrastructure increased market access. From 1940 to 1970, the population of cities along the Mexico-580 581 U.S. border grew almost exponentially (Figure 3) (Instituto Nacional de Estadística, Geografía e 582 Informática 2015). Border cities became the main markets for green turtle products (Figure 1), and sea 583 turtle restaurants and stands -known locally as *caguamerías*- were regularly supplied with green 584 turtles from the central peninsula. Caguamerías became immensely popular, to the degree that tacos 585 and other street foods are today in Mexico. This unregulated market led to a fast decline in sea turtle 586 populations, in contrast with the local subsistence captures which had been limited by small human populations and minimal waste and had proved sustainable over long time spans. 587

The pattern of marine resource depletion as a result of national and international market dynamics has been repeated worldwide since the early days of capitalism (Langton 2003; Roman and Palumbi 2003; Schwerdtner Máñez *et al.* 2014). This has also been the case with sea turtle fisheries in other locations in Mexico, as well as the Caribbean, where captures were mainly for non-local luxury 592 markets (Costa-Neto and Márques 2000; Early Capistrán 2010, 2014c; Nietschmann 1974). Cinner and colleages (2016) showed that market gravity —a metric of potential interaction with urban centres or 593 594 markets measured in terms of the relative size of markets and their distance from fishing communities 595 — is the strongest predictor of reef fish biomass loss: more so than population pressure, environmental 596 conditions or national socio-economic context. Similarly, a strong correlation has been found between 597 the demand for megavertebrates in international luxury markets and extinction risk (McClenachan et al. 598 2016). Furthermore, McClenachan and Kittinger (2012) found that contrasting social and historical trajectories greatly affect the long-term sustainability of fisheries, and that high economic connectivity 599 and human population density, coupled with a lack of customary management systems, caused rapid 600 601 overexploitation of marine resources.

We suggest that market forces were the main driver of the green turtle fishery collapse in the temperate feeding areas of Baja California. Decline was not caused by local subsistence fishing, but by a combination of (a) unprecedented and unregulated demand from urban centres and (b) resulting supply in the form of increased sea turtles capture made possible by improved fishing efficiency and market access. Demand increased in response to demographic and economic growth along the Mexico-U.S. border. Simultaneously, supply increased as technologies such as gillnets and outboard motors improved fishing efficiency and improved infrastructure increased market access.

609

# 610 *Turtles in time*

In broad terms, human impacts on large marine vertebrate populations have shown a similar pattern worldwide: slow changes over millennia, rapid depletion in recent centuries, and accelerated decline in the 20<sup>th</sup> century (Jackson *et al.* 2001; Lotze and Worm 2009). For example, Caribbean green sea turtle populations were decimated by large-scale commercial fisheries for export to Europe as early as the 615 18<sup>th</sup> century (Bjorndal and Jackson 2003; McClenachan *et al.* 2006). This was due greatly to the 616 Caribbean region's fast integration into the global economy, and relative proximity to European 617 markets with important demands for sea turtle products (Nietschmann 1974). According to sea turtle 618 expert Archie Carr, "more than any other dietary factor, the green turtle supported the opening of the 619 Caribbean" (Carr cited in Nietschmann 1974). Remnant populations were exploited throughout the 20<sup>th</sup> 620 century, and technologies such as nets and offboard motors permitted more efficient captures and 621 accelerated their decline (Nietschmann 1974).

The central desert of Baja California presents a different trajectory: we suggest that the turning 622 point in human impact was much more recent, in the 1960s, when estimated annual captures exceeded 623 those of the previous centuries —including late phases of Pre-Hispanic occupation— by an order of 624 625 magnitude. We consider that colonization processes, economic cycles, and geographic isolation had important roles in this unique scenario. First, as the American continent was colonized by Europeans, 626 in broad terms, from east to west and south to north (in the case of the northern hemisphere), Baja 627 628 California was colonized centuries later than the Caribbean islands or mainland Mexico: Jesuit 629 missionaries did not establish permanent settlements in the peninsula until nearly 200 after the fall of the Aztec empire (Crosby 1994; León Portilla 2001). By the time colonial presence was first 630 631 established in the peninsula, much of Latin America and the Caribbean were thoroughly integrated into 632 a global economy; however, due to the adverse conditions in the desert peninsula and its geographic 633 isolation from the colonial metropolis, trade to and from the peninsula in general, and the central desert in particular, was scarce during the 18<sup>th</sup> and early 19<sup>th</sup> centuries [Baegert 1761(1982); Crosby 1994; 634 635 León Portilla 2001; Linck and Burrus 1967].

From the 1850s until the 1950s, an extractive economy based on mining, whaling, and fishing
had important impacts on the region (Henderson 1972; Piñera Ramírez 1991). However, the lack of a

constant market for sea turtle products in urban centres and the small local populations kept impacts on sea turtles mostly within an order of magnitude of past centuries. This is supported by reports of very high abundance from the late 19<sup>th</sup> century until the early 1960s (Averett 1920; Caldwell 1963; Townsend 1916). It was not until the 1960s that a confluence of factors —market demand in new and accessible urban centres coupled with increased infrastructure and catch efficiency—led to swift declines.

We do not wish to imply that a "pristine" baseline exists at any point in the chronology. Long-644 645 term abundance of resource species has been affected both by human activity and long-term climate fluctuations (Lotze and Worm 2009), to the degree that any point chosen as a baseline is, to some 646 extent, arbitrary. Furthermore, the idea of the "New World" as a pristine wilderness before the arrival 647 648 of Columbus is both scientifically unsupportable and embedded in colonial discourse (Denevan 1992; Kay and Simmons 2002). Beyond the vast empires of Mesoamerica and the Andes, hunter-gatherers — 649 such as the Pre-Hispanic inhabitants of Baja California- had significant impact on coastal and marine 650 651 ecosystems centuries before written records exist (Rick and Erlandson 2009). Archaeological evidence, 652 such as large shell middens, suggest that prehistoric human activity had significant impact on Baja 653 California's marine ecosystems (Des Lauriers 2011; Laylander 2010). However, currently 654 archaeological data are insufficient to reliably calculate human impacts on sea turtle populations in 655 early phases of human occupation. In this context, we have chosen to extend our reconstruction as far 656 as sources allow us to do so reliably in order to show processes of change over the longest time span 657 possible.

658

659 Past and present

Green turtle catch rates in scientific monitoring conditions have increased since the early 2000s 660 (Figures 4a and 4b) (Comisión Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas, unpublished data; Grupo 661 662 Tortuguero de las Californias A.C., unpublished data; Koch 2013; López-Castro et al. 2010). 663 Populations at nesting beaches have also increased since the early 2000s, with marked increases from 2010 onward (Figure 4) (Delgado-Trejo and Alvarado Díaz 2012; Delgado-Trejo 2016). This 25-30 664 665 year time frame corresponds roughly with the approximate generation length of East Pacific green turtles (Seminoff 2004). These increases have been attributed to a combination of initiatives, including 666 the total ban on sea turtle captures in 1990, along with nesting beach protection since 1980 (Márquez 667 1996) and increased involvement of governmental, academic, and non-governmental institutions in sea 668 turtle conservation (Koch 2013; Delgado-Trejo 2016). 669

670 The pattern of collapse in the later years of the fisheries in the 1980s and the increase in the past 10 years is congruent with fishers' perception of changes in abundance (Figure 6). As part of a series of 671 recurring questions, fishers were asked if there were "many fewer", "somewhat fewer", "about the 672 673 same", "more", or "many more" green turtles present today as in the years they worked in the 674 commercial green turtle fishery (Sáenz-Arroyo et al. 2005a,b) (Supp. Info., Sec. 1.3, Table S2). We recognize the inherent limitations of these data, and present them only as an initial exploration of 675 676 possible tendencies. 59% of fishers aged 40 to 64 (n=10) and 36% of fishers 65-89 (n=5) responded 677 "much more". This suggests a shifting baseline between younger and older fishers (Pauly 1995; Sáenz-678 Arroyo et al. 2005b). However, the data also suggest a positive overall outlook: none of the fishers considered that there were "many fewer" turtles at present, and all fishers who responded "somewhat 679 680 fewer" (16%, n=5) added that green turtles are currently abundant, but below the level of their years in 681 the fishery.

Perceived changes in abundance among older fishers are particularly interesting, as our reconstructions suggest an inflection in long-term abundance in the 1960s. Since older fishers worked in the early years of the commercial fishery —and in some cases as subsistence fishermen in the 1940s and 1950s— they witnessed what could be considered a historical baseline abundance level for these two locations. These observations are vital for future evaluations of conservation status, and carrying out this type of research while older expert fishers are alive is of prime importance (Johannes *et al.* 2000; Sadovy and Cheung 2004; Sáenz-Arroyo *et al.* 2005b).

689 Evaluating current and present turtle population levels, conservation status, or recovery is 690 beyond the scope of this study. However, our methods could be used to generate reliable baseline 691 abundance data with which to compare current abundance levels. Further research, in the form of 692 standardized Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) comparable to modern monitoring data, is needed to evaluate past and current local abundance in terms of biomass. Additionally, long-term analysis of 693 694 changes at nesting beaches and changes in population structure are required to evaluate changes at 695 species or regional levels (Casale and Heppell 2016; Kittinger et al. 2013; McClenachan et al. 2006). 696 Although we cannot evaluate the degree of recovery at present, recent increases provide a positive 697 outlook for this green turtle population, and speak to the success of conservation efforts in feeding and 698 nesting areas.

699

# 700 Implications for management

The recent green turtle population increase in Baja California echoes increasing population trends in various *C. mydas* stocks in the Central Pacific and West Atlantic (Balazs and Chaloupka 2004; Broderick *et al.* 2006; Chaloupka and Balazs 2007; Chaloupka *et al.* 2008). This shows that relatively simple, wide-spread conservation efforts, such as protection from human hazards —for example, unregulated fishing and egg harvests—, can have a profound impact on population levels of oncedepleted green turtle stocks (Chaloupka *et al.* 2008). Nonetheless, green turtles continue to face threats
such as by-catch, poaching, habitat degradation, and climate change (Seminoff 2004; Koch *et al.* 2006;
Mancini and Koch 2009; Mancini *et al.* 2011).

Sound management decisions require solid recovery targets based on reliable information. With organisms subjected to long-term exploitation, we risk underestimating the degree of change by limiting decision-making to recent experimental data (McClenachan *et al.* 2012; Pauly 1995; Sáenz– Arroyo *et al.* 2005). Through our reconstruction of past harvests, we are confident that we have determined a point in time, between 1950 and 1960, that can serve as a temporal reference point before large-scale exploitation which can be used in the future to establish baseline abundance and recovery targets by building upon our methods.

716

# 717 Integrating local and scientific knowledge

This type of research is only possible through the construction of collaborative knowledge between 718 719 scientists and local experts. A critical approach to non-traditional data sources should not be confused 720 with invalidating the credibility of place-based empirical knowledge, which is based on experiential information accrued over generations, with its own particular epistemologies (Beaudreau and Levin 721 722 2014; Idrobo and Berkes 2012; Mistry and Berardi 2016). Invalidating such knowledge without attempting to confront epistemological differences risks creating value judgements embedded in forms 723 724 of colonial representation (Mistry and Berardi 2016; Sáenz-Arroyo and Revollo-Fernández 2016). 725 Rather than seeing place-based empirical knowledge as subjective and arbitrary —in contrast with the perception of science as objective and rigorous-, we must make a concerted effort to bridge 726 epistemological gaps, recognizing that all forms of knowledge are value-laden and produced by 727

socially situated actors (Mistry and Berardi 2016). This dialogue between science and place-based empirical knowledge is of prime importance not only to understanding past ecosystem conditions, but also to facing current and future global challenges such as ecosystem degradation and climate change (Klenk and Meehan 2015; Mistry and Berardi 2016)

We must highlight the importance of recognizing and integrating fishers' knowledge as a way 732 of decolonizing conservation. Implementing conservation policies and ideologies based on politically 733 734 and economically dominant agendas further marginalizes the communities most affected by natural resource depletion, and can potentially cause them great harm (Adams and Mulligan 2003; Langton 735 736 2003; Mistry and Berardi 2016). Instead, scientists must take a self-critical and collaborative approach which considers the way people perceive, allocate, and manage their natural resources (Costa-Neto and 737 738 Márques 2000; Johannes 1993; Mistry and Berardi 2016). When approaching conservation issues, scientists should first engage with the communities that interact closely with the natural environment, 739 740 rely on it directly for their livelihood most, and are most affected by environmental degradation (Mistry 741 and Berardi 2016). This also implies respectfully acknowledging and understanding each community's 742 distinctiveness and epistemology —as well as the rules, values, ethics, and ways of knowing related to resource use-, providing relevant scientific knowledge, and establishing self-determination as a key 743 744 principle of engagement (Johannes 1981, 1993; Mistry and Berardi 2016; Weiss et al. 2012).

745

## 746 Methodological and epistemological challenges

The use of non-traditional data for population ecology —such as place-based empirical knowledge and the historical record— requires a systematic approach based on tried methods from the social sciences (Baisre 2016; Taylor 2013). It requires engagement with communities and sources —placing fisheries and fishing societies in a historical, social, cultural, and economic context—, rather than approaching contributors and documents as mere sources for numerical data extraction (Anderson 2006; Bolster
2006; Harrison 1997; Mistry and Berardi 2016). In this sense, participation of trained social scientists is
fundamental.

754 The epistemological challenges of integrating both historical and place-based empirical 755 knowledge into population ecology deserve particular attention (Taylor 2013). Bridging various modes of knowledge production requires an active engagement and dialogue with anthropology and the 756 philosophy of science —as well as epistemology, phenomenology, hermeneutics, and ethics (Alagona 757 et al. 2012; Cajete 2004)-, in close collaboration with social scientists and humanities scholars 758 759 (Anderson 2006; Bolster 2006). In our case, this dialogue was facilitated by the inclusion of an anthropologist (M.M.E.C.) and a philosopher (G.G.M.) as part of an interdisciplinary research team. 760 761 This type of constructive, multidisciplinary collaboration improves the reliability of results and 762 contributes to solving broader theoretical issues.

763

#### 764 Concluding comments

Developing robust estimates of past marine animal exploitation requires a solid interdisciplinary 765 766 framework along with collaborative knowledge-building with local experts. Through the use of ethnography and historiography, we were able to develop detailed estimates of past green sea turtle 767 768 capture in a key region of Northwest Mexico. We found that from 1700 to around 1960, sea turtle 769 capture remained within an order of magnitude except for two outlying years (1919 and 1925). During 770 the Pre-Hispanic and Mission Periods, harvest levels changed primarily in response to human 771 demographics and local consumption patterns. During the Secular Period (1850-1945), harvest was 772 driven by global economic trends, such as whaling, mining, and early industrial fishing, but remained relatively low. Between 1960 and 1980, the growth of cities along the Mexico-U.S. border and the 773

growing, unregulated demand for sea turtle products —coupled with increased fishing efficiency and infrastructure— led to overexploitation and green turtle population collapse. These 20 years of market demand led to the depletion of a fishery that had been of fundamental importance for millennia. While recent monitoring data suggest a positive outlook for this green turtle population, further research is needed to evaluate past and current turtle abundance, as well as to monitor conservation status.

779 Through this regional study, we have developed a methodological framework that can be applied widely to reconstruct past marine animal exploitation patterns in data-poor contexts. This methodology 780 781 can be used to develop time series for other heavily exploited organisms and may help reconstruct and 782 understand long-term change where ecological or fisheries data are unavailable. By incorporating methods from social sciences to solve the epistemological difficulties entailed by this type of research, 783 784 we hope to contribute to the development of reliable approximations to the study of long-term change in the oceans. This dialogue between the natural and social sciences, place-based empirical knowledge, 785 and the humanities could prove vital for understanding both past environmental conditions and 786 787 addressing current and future global challenges.

788

## 789 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the communities of Bahía de los Ángeles and Guerrero Negro for their trust and partnership. We are extremely grateful for the data from 1999-2013 and the logistical support provided by Grupo Tortuguero de las Californias A.C., Comisión Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas (CONANP), Área de Protección de Flora y Fauna Isla del Golfo de California, Reserva de la Biósfera El Vizcaíno, and Exportadora de Sal S.A. All necessary research permits were authorized by the Mexican environmental authority, the Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales (SEMARNAT). We are also very grateful to C. Delgado-Trejo for kindly providing reports on green turtle nesting in 797 Michoacán. We would like to thank A. Abreu-Grobois, S. Aztorga, F. Castillo, C. Delgado-Trejo, D. Early, J. Frazier, I. Fuentes, K. Oceguera, A. Reséndiz, J. Romero, J. Seminoff, and E. Solana for their 798 799 counsel and encouragement. We also thank the two anonymous reviewers whose commentary greatly 800 improved the quality of this paper. While carrying out this work (2012-2014), M.M.E.C. received an academic grant from the Mexican National Council for Science and Technology-CONACYT (contract 801 802 number: 289695) for her studies in the Graduate Program in Ocean Sciences and Limnology at the 803 National Autonomous University of Mexico (PCMyL-UNAM). Field research was primarily funded by 804 grant 2012-383 from the Walton Family Foundation to Grupo Tortuguero de las Californias A.C..

805

## 806 **Conflict of interest**

807 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

### 808 **REFERENCES**

- Adams, W.A. and Mulligan, M. eds (2003) *Decolonizing Nature: Strategies for Conservation in a Post-colonial Era*. Earthscan, London.
- 811 Águila Ramírez, R.N., Casas Valdez, M., Ortega García, S., Núñez López, R.A. and Cruz Ayala, M.B.
- 812 (2003) Spatial and seasonal variation of macroalgal biomass in Laguna Ojo de Liebre.
- 813 *Hydrobiologia* **501**, 207–214.
- Aikenhead, G.S. (2006) Towards decolonizing the pan-Canadian science framework. *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education* 6, 387–399.
- Alagona, P.S., Sandlos, J. and Wiersma, Y.F. (2012) Past Imperfect. *Environmental Philosophy* 9, 49–
  70.
- Alvarado Díaz, J., Delgado-Trejo, C. and Suazo-Ortuño, I. (2001) Evaluation of the Black Turtle
  Project in Michoacán, México. *Marine Turtle Newsletter* 92, 4–7.
- 820 Alvarado, J. and Figueroa, A. (1992) Recapturas post-anidatoras de hembras de tortuga marina negra
- 821 (Chelonia agassizii) marcadas en Michoacán, México. *Biotrópica* 24, 560–566. [In Spanish.]
- Alvarez-Borrego, S. (2002) Physical Oceanography. In: A New Island Biogeography of the Sea of
- *Cortes.* (eds M.L. Cody, E. Ezcurra and T.J. Case). Oxford University Press, Oxford; New York, pp
  41–59.
- 825 Anderson, K. (2006) Does History Count? Endeavour 30, 150–155.
- 826 Anson, G. (1748) A voyage round the world, in the years MDCCXL, I, II, III, IV. By George Anson,
- 827 *Esq; Commander in Chief of a Squadron of His Majesty's Ships, sent upon an Expedition to the*
- 828 South-Seas. Compiled from papers and other materials of the Right Honourable George Lord
- 829 Anson, and published under his direction, by Richard Walter, M. A. Chaplain of his Majesty's Ship
- 830 *the Centurion, in that Expedition. Illustrated with forty-two copper-plates.* John and Paul Knapton,
- 831 London.
- Aschmann, H. (1959) *The Central Desert of Baja California: Demography and Ecology*. University of
  California Press, Berkeley.
- Averett, W.E. (1920) Lower California Green Turtle Fishery. *Pacific Fisherman* 18, 224–25.

- 835 Baegert, J. [1761 (1982)] The Letters of Jacob Baegert, 1749-1761: A Jesuit Missionary in Baja
- 836 *California*. Dawson's Book Shop, Los Angeles.
- 837 Baisre, J.A. (2016) The uncritical use of anecdotes in marine historical ecology: response to
- 838 McClenachan et al.: Anecdotes and Historical Ecology. *Conservation Biology* **30**, 228–229.
- Balazs, G.H. and Chaloupka, M. (2004) Thirty-year recovery trend in the once depleted Hawaiian
  green sea turtle stock. *Biological Conservation* 117, 491–498.
- 841 del Barco, M. [1757 (1988)] *Historia natural y crónica del antigua California (adiciones y*
- 842 *correcciones a la noticia de Miguel Venegas*), 2nd edn. Instituto de Investigaciones Históricas
- UNAM, México D.F., México D.F. [In Spanish.]
- Beaudreau, A.H. and Levin, P.S. (2014) Advancing the use of local ecological knowledge for assessing
  data-poor species in coastal ecosystems. *Ecological Applications* 24, 244–256.
- 846 Bernard, H.R. (2011) Research Methods in Anthropology: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches,
- 5th edn. AltaMira Press, New York.
- 848 Bjorndal, K.A. (1997) Foraging Ecology and Nutrition of Sea Turtles. In: The Biology of Sea Turtles.
- *CRC Marine Science Series* (eds P.L. Lutz and J.A. Musick). CRC Press, Boca Ratón, Florida, pp
  199–231.
- Bjorndal, K.A. and Bolten, A.B. (2008) Annual variation in source contributions to a mixed stock:
- implications for quantifying connectivity: Annual variation in mixed stocks. *Molecular Ecology* 17,
  2185–2193.
- Bjorndal, K.A. and Jackson, J.B. (2003) Role of Sea Turtles in Marine Ecosystems: Reconstructing the
  Past. In: *Biology of Sea Turtles*, Vol. II. *CRC Marine Science Series* (eds P. Lutz, J.A. Musick and J.
  Wyneken). CRC Press, Boca Ratón, Florida.
- Bolster, W.J. (2006) Opportunities in Marine Environmental History. *Environmental History* 11, 567–
  597.
- 859 Brettell, C.B. (1998) Fieldwork in the Archives: Methods and Sources in Historical Anthropology. In:
- 860 Handbook of Methods in Cultural Anthropology. (ed H.R. Bernard). AltaMira Press, Walnut Creek,
- 861 California, pp 513–546.
- 862 Broderick, A.C., Frauenstein, R., Glen, F., et al. (2006) Are green turtles globally endangered? Global

- *Ecology and Biogeography* **15**, 21–26.
- Cajete, G. (2004) Philosophy of Native Science. In: *American Indian Thought: Philosophical Essays*.
  (ed A. Waters). Blackwell Publishing. Oxford, pp 45–57.
- Caldwell, D.K. (1962) The "Black Steer" of Baja California. Los Angeles County Museum of Science
  and History Quarterly 1, 15–17.
- Caldwell, D.K. (1963) The Sea Turtle fishery of Baja California, Mexico. *California Fish and Game*49, 140–151.
- 870 Casale, P. and Heppell, S. (2016) How much sea turtle bycatch is too much? A stationary age

distribution model for simulating population abundance and potential biological removal in the
Mediterranean. *Endangered Species Research* 29, 239–254.

873 Chaloupka, M. and Balazs, G. (2007) Using Bayesian state-space modelling to assess the recovery and

harvest potential of the Hawaiian green sea turtle stock. *Ecological Modelling* **205**, 93–109.

- Chaloupka, M., Bjorndal, K.A., Balazs, G.H., et al. (2008) Encouraging outlook for recovery of a once
  severely exploited marine megaherbivore. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 17, 297–304.
- 877 Cinner, J.E., Huchery, C., MacNeil, M.A., et al. (2016) Bright spots among the world's coral reefs.
  878 *Nature* advance online publication.

Clifton, K., Cornejo, D.O. and Felger, R.S. (1995) Sea Turtles of the Pacific Coast of Mexico. In: *Biology and Conservation of Sea Turtles*. (ed K.A. Bjorndal), Revised Edition. Smithsonian
Institution Press, Washington DC, pp 199–209.

- 882 Costa-Neto, E.M. and Márques, G.W. (2000) Faunistic resources used as medicines by artisanal
- fishermen from Sirbinha beach, state of Bahía, Brazil. *Journal of Ethnobiology* **20**, 93–109.
- 884 Crosby, H. (1994) Antigua California: Mission and Colony on the Peninsular Frontier, 1697-1768.
- 885 University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque.
- 886 Crosby, H. (2010) Los últimos californios, (Colección Bicentenario). Instituto Sudcaliforniano de
- 887 Cultura, La Paz, Mexico.
- Baily Alta California. 'Importations', Daily Alta California (25 March 1860), p. 1.
- 889 Daily Alta California. 'Pacific Coast Despatches: California', Daily Alta California (11 February

- 890 1871), p. 1.
- Belgado-Trejo, C. (2016) Recovery of the Black Sea Turtle in Michoacan, Mexico, U.S. Fish and
  Wildlife Service / Universidad Michoacana San Nicolás Hidalgo, 34 pp.
- 893 Delgado-Trejo, C. and Alvarado Díaz, J. (2012) Current Conservation Status of the Black Sea Turtle in
- 894 Michoacán, Mexico. In: Sea Turtles of the Eastern Pacific: Advances in Research and
- 895 *Conservation*. (eds J.A. Seminoff and B.P. Wallace). University of Arizona Press, Tucson, pp 263–
- 896 278.
- Benevan, W.M. (1992) The Pristine Myth The Landscape of the Americas in 1492. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 82, 369–385.
- 899 Denzin, N.K. and Lincoln, Y.S. eds (1994) Handbook of Qualitative Research. Sage Publications,
- 900 London.
- Des Lauriers, M.R. (2006) Terminal Pleistocene and Early Holocene Occupations of Isla de Cedros,
   Baja California, Mexico. *The Journal of Island and Coastal Archaeology* 1, 255–270.
- Des Lauriers, M.R.D. (2011) Of Clams and Clovis: Isla Cedros, Baja California, Mexico. In: *Trekking the Shore*. (eds N.F. Bicho, J.A. Haws and L.G. Davis). Springer New York, New York, pp 161–
   177.
- Drew, J., López, E.H., Gill, L., et al. (2016) Collateral damage to marine and terrestrial ecosystems
  from Yankee whaling in the 19th century. *Ecology and Evolution*.
- Dutton, P., Balazs, G., LeRoux, R., Murakawa, S., Zarate, P. and Martines, L. (2008) Composition of
   Hawaiian green turtle foraging aggregations: mtDNA evidence for a distinct regional population.
   *Endangered Species Research* 5, 37–44.
- 911 Early Capistrán, M.M. (2014a) Amazons, Pirates, and Turtles on the Island of California. *The Appendix*912 2.
- 913 Early Capistrán, M.M. (2014b) Análisis diacrónico de la explotación, abundancia y talla de Chelonia
- 914 *mydas en la península de Baja California, 12,000 A.P.-2012.* MSc Thesis, Universidad Nacional
- 915 Autónoma de México, 183 pages. [In Spanish.]
- 916 Early Capistrán, M.M. (2014c) Lo vulnerable y lo sanguinario: explotación histórica de tortugas y
- 917 tiburones en la Costa de Oaxaca. In: Problemas ambientales asociados al desarrollo. Colección

- 918 Desarrollo (eds R. Macip-Ríos and O. Espinosa Santiago). Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de
- 919 Puebla, Puebla, México, pp 51–70. [In Spanish.]
- Barly Capistrán, M.M. (2010) Voces del oleaje: ecología políticas de las tortugas marinas en la costa
  de Oaxaca. ICSyH BUAP/ CONACYT, Puebla, México. [In Spanish.]
- 922 Freedman, P. (2007) Food: The History of Taste. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los
- 923 Angeles.
- 924 Garry, R.C., Passmore, R., Warnock, G.M. and Durnin, J.V.G.A. (1952) Studies on Expenditure of
- Energy and Consumption of Food by Miners and Clerks, Fife, Scotland, 1952. *M.R.C. Special*
- 926 *Reports* 289. Medical Research Council, Londres.
- 927 Goldbaum, D. [1918 (1971)] Towns of Baja California: A 1918 Report, 2nd edn. La Siesta Press,
- 928 Glendale, California.
- 929 González Olmedo, G., Carrillo Farnés, O., Ibarra Martín, et al. (2004) Research Report: Cultural,
- 930 Social and Nutritional Value of Sea Turtles in Cuba. 44 pp. Universidad de la Habana/World
- 931 Wildlife Fund. [In Spanish.]
- 932 Gordin, M.D. (2015) Scientific Babel: How Science Was Done Before and After Global English.
- 933 University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Guber, R. (2015) *La ethnografía: método, campo y reflexividad*. Siglo XXI Editores, Buenos Aires. [In
  Spanish.]
- Harnik, P.G., Lotze, H.K., Anderson, S.C., et al. (2012) Extinctions in ancient and modern seas. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 27, 608–617.
- Harris, M. (2001) *Cultural Materialism: The Struggle for a Science of Culture*. AltaMira Press, New
  York.
- 940 Harrison, F.V. (1997) Decolonizing anthropology: Moving further toward an anthropology for
- 941 *liberation*, 3rd edn. American Anthropological Association, Washington D.C.
- 942 Henderson, D.A. (1972) Men and Whales at Scammon's Lagoon. Dawson's Book Shop, Los Angeles.
- 943 Huntington, H.P. (2000) Using traditional ecological knowledge in science: methods and applications.
- 944 *Ecological applications* **10**, 1270–1274.

- 945 Idrobo, C.J. and Berkes, F. (2012) Pangnirtung Inuit and the Greenland Shark: Co-producing
- 946 Knowledge of a Little Discussed Species. *Human Ecology* **40**, 405–414.
- 947 Instituto Nacional de Estadística, Geografía e Informática (2015) Dinámica. Baja California. Available
- 948 at: http://www.cuentame.inegi.org.mx/monografias/informacion/bc/poblacion/dinamica.aspx?
- tema=me&e=02 [Accessed February 26, 2017].
- 950 Instituto Nacional de Estadística, Geografía e Informática (2017a) Información correspondiente a la
- 951 localidad geoestadística: 020010046. Available at:
- 952 http://www.inegi.org.mx/geo/contenidos/geoestadistica/consulta\_localidades.aspx [Accessed May 5,
- 953 2017].
- 954 Instituto Nacional de Estadística, Geografía e Informática (2017b) Información correspondiente a la
- 955 localidad geoestadística: 030020066. Available at:
- http://www.inegi.org.mx/geo/contenidos/geoestadistica/consulta\_localidades.aspx [Accessed May 5,
  2017].
- Jackson, J.B. (2001) What was natural in the coastal oceans? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 98, 5411–5418.
- Jackson, J.B., Kirby, M.X., Berger, W.H., et al. (2001) Historical overfishing and the recent collapse of
   coastal ecosystems. *Science* 293, 629–637.
- 962 Johannes, R.E. (1993) Integrating traditional ecological knowledge and management with
- 963 environmental impact assessment. In: *Traditional Ecological Knowledge: Concepts and Cases*. (ed
- J.T. Inglis). IDRC, Ottawa, pp 33–39.
- Johannes, R.E. (1981) Words of the Lagoon: Fishing and Marine Lore in the Palau District of
- 966 *Micronesia*. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Johannes, R.E., Freeman, M.M.R. and Hamilton, R.J. (2000) Ignore fishers' knowledge and miss the
  boat. *Fish and Fisheries* 1, 257–271.
- 969 Karmelich, K. (1935) Turtles. In: Fish Bulletin: the Commercial Fish Catch of California for the Year
- 970 *1935*, Vol. 49. *Fish Bulletin*. Division of Fish and Game of California Bureau of Commercial
- 971 Fisheries, Sacramento, California.
- 972 Kay, C.E. and Simmons, R.T. eds (2002) Wilderness and Political Ecology. The University of Utah

- 973 Press, Salt Lake City, U.S.A..
- Wing, J.H. (1997) Prehistoric Diet in Central Baja California, Mexico. MSc Thesis. Simon Frazier
  University, Vancouver, 106 pages.

Kittinger, J.N., Pandolfi, J.M., J.M., Blodgett, J.H., et al. (2011) Historical Reconstruction Reveals
Recovery in Hawaiian Coral Reefs. *PLoS ONE* 6, e25460.

- Kittinger, J.N., Van Houtan, K.S., McClenachan, L.E. and Lawrence, A.L. (2013) Using historical data
  to assess the biogeography of population recovery. *Ecography* 36, 868–872.
- Klenk, N. and Meehan, K. (2015) Climate change and transdisciplinary science: Problematizing the
  integration imperative. *Environmental Science & Policy* 54, 160–167.
- 982 Koch, V. (2013) 12 años de monitoreo de la tortuga negra (Chelonia mydas) en zonas de alimentación
- 983 y crianza en el Noroeste de México. 85 pp. Grupo Tortuguero de las Californias A.C., La Paz,
- 984 Mexico.
- Koch, V., Brooks, L.B. and Nichols, W.J. (2007) Population ecology of the green/black turtle
  (Chelonia mydas) in Bahía Magdalena, Mexico. *Marine Biology* 153, 35–46.
- Koch, V., Nichols, W.J., Peckham, H. and de la Toba, V. (2006) Estimates of sea turtle mortality from
  poaching and bycatch in Bahía Magdalena, Baja California Sur, Mexico. *Biological Conservation*128, 327–334.
- 990 Langton, M. (2003) The "wild", the market and the native: Indigenous people face new forms of global
- 991 colonization. In: Decolonizing Nature: Strategies for Conservation in a Post-colonial Era. (eds
- 992 W.A. Adams and M. Mulligan). Earthscan, London, pp 79–107.
- 993 Laylander, D. (2010) Acerca de la arqueología de Baja California. In: La Prehistoria de Baja

994 *California: Avances en la arqueología de la península olvidada*. (eds D. Laylander, J.D. Moore and

- J. Bendímez Patterson). Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia, Mexicali, Mexico. pp 3–18.
- LeCompte, M. and Goetz, J. (1982) Problems of Reliability and Validity in Ethnographic Research.
   *Review of Educational Research* 52, 31–60.
- 998 León Portilla, M. (2001) *Cartografía y crónicas de la Antigua California*. Instituto de Investigaciones
  999 Históricas UNAM, Mexico City. [In Spanish.]
- 1000 León Portilla, M. and Piñera Ramírez, D. (2011) Baja California: Historia Breve, 2nd edn. Fondo de

- 1001 Cultura Económica, Mexico City. [In Spanish.]
- Linck, W. and Burrus, E.J. (1967) The 1767-1768 Report. In: *Wenceslaus Linck's Reports and Letters*.
  Dawson's Book Shop, Los Angeles.
- 1004 Longinos Martínez, J. [1787 (1994)] Extracto de las noticias y observaciones que ha hecho en las
- 1005 expediciones que acaba de ejercer en la antigua y nueva California, consta del sur y viaje de México
- 1006 a San Blas, el naturalista de la expedición botánica don José Longinos Martínez. In: *Diarios de las*
- 1007 expediciones a las Californias de José Longinos. (ed S. Bernabéu). Doce Calles, Madrid. [In
- 1008 Spanish.]
- 1009 López-Castro, M., Koch, V., Mariscal-Loza, A. and Nichols, W. (2010) Long-term monitoring of black
- 1010 turtles Chelonia mydas at coastal foraging areas off the Baja California Peninsula. *Endangered*
- 1011 *Species Research* **11**, 35–45.
- Lotze, H.K. and Worm, B. (2009) Historical baselines for large marine animals. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 24, 254–262.
- Mancini, A. and Koch, V. (2009) Sea turtle consumption and black market trade in Baja California Sur,
  Mexico. *Endangered Species Research* 7, 1–10.
- 1016 Mancini, A., Senko, J., Borquez-Reyes, R., Póo, J.G., Seminoff, J.A. and Koch, V. (2011) To Poach or
- 1017 Not to Poach an Endangered Species: Elucidating the Economic and Social Drivers Behind Illegal
- 1018 Sea Turtle Hunting in Baja California Sur, Mexico. *Human Ecology* **39**, 743–756.
- 1019 Márquez, R. (1996) *Las tortugas marinas y nuestro tiempo*, (La ciencia para todos). Fondo de Cultura
  1020 Económica, Mexico City. [In Spanish.]
- 1021 Márquez, R., Elizalde R., S. and Nodarse A., G. (1991) Informe de viaje a la Isla Gran Caymán,
- 1022 Antillas Occidentales. ONU-FAO, Rome. Available at:
- http://www.fao.org/docrep/field/003/ac405s/ac405s00.HTM [Accessed 27 February, 2017]. [In
  Spanish.]
- McClenachan, L., Cooper, A.B. and Dulvy, N.K. (2016) Rethinking Trade-Driven Extinction Risk in
   Marine and Terrestrial Megafauna. *Current Biology* 26, 1640–1646.
- 1027 McClenachan, L. and Kittinger, J.N. (2012) Multicentury trends and the sustainability of coral reef
- 1028 fisheries in Hawai'i and Florida: Multicentury trends in coral reef fisheries. Fish and Fisheries 14,

1029 239–255.

- 1030 McClenachan, L.E., Cooper, A.B., McKenzie, M.G. and Drew, J.A. (2015) The Importance of
- 1031 Surprising Results and Best Practices in Historical Ecology. *BioScience* XX, 1–8.
- 1032 McClenachan, L.E., Ferretti, F. and Baum, J.K. (2012) From archives to conservation: why historical
- 1033 data are needed to set baselines for marine animals and ecosystems: From archives to conservation.
- 1034 *Conservation Letters* **5**, 349–359.
- 1035 McClenachan, L.E., Jackson, J.B. and Newman, M.J.H. (2006) Conservation implications of historic
- sea turtle nesting beach loss. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **4**, 290–296.
- 1037 McGee, W.J. (1898) The Seri Indians. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C.
- 1038 Mistry, J. and Berardi, A. (2016) Bridging indigenous and scientific knowledge. Science 352.
- 1039 Nabhan, G.P. (2003) Singing the Turtles to Sea: The Comcáac (Seri) Art and Science of Reptiles.
- 1040 University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- 1041 Nelson, E.W. (1922) Lower California and its Natural Resources., (Memoirs of the National Academy
- 1042 of Sciences, Vol. XVI). National Academy of Sciences, Washington D.C..
- 1043 Nietschmann, B. (1974) When the Turtle Collapses, the World Ends. *Natural History* 83, 34–43.
- 1044 NOAA (2016) Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Final Rule To List Eleven
- 1045 Distinct Population Segments of the Green Sea Turtle (Chelonia mydas) as Endangered or
- 1046 Threatened and Revision of Current Listings Under the Endangered Species Act; Final
- 1047 *Rule*.
- 1048 NOAA Fisheries (2016) Green Turtle (Chelonia mydas). Available at:
- 1049 http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/species/turtles/green.html [Accessed June 8, 2016].
- 1050 O'Donnell, D.J. (1974) Green Turtle Fishery in Baja California Waters: History and Prospect.
- 1051 ONU-FAO (1990) Manual on simple methods of meat preservation, (FAO Animal Production and
- 1052 Health Papers). ONU-FAO, Roma.
- 1053 ONU-FAO (2003) Perfiles nutricionales por países: México. 45 pp. ONU-FAO, Roma.
- 1054 Pauly, D. (1995) Anecdotes and the shifting baseline syndrome of fisheries. Trends in Ecology &
- 1055 *Evolution* **10**, 430.

- 1056 Pauly, D. and Zeller, D. (2016) Catch reconstructions reveal that global marine fisheries catches are
- 1057 higher than reported. *Nature Communications* 7, 1–9.
- 1058 Piñera Ramírez, D. (1991) Ocupación y uso del suelo en Baja California: de los grupos abrígenes a la
- 1059 *urbanización dependiente*. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Centro de Investigaciones
- 1060 Históricas UNAM-UABC, Mexicali, Mexico. [In Spanish.]
- 1061 Radcliffe, L. (1922) Fisheries and Market for Fishery Products in Mexico, Central America, South
- 1062 America, West Indies, and Bermudas, (Bureau of Fisheries Documents). Government Printing
- 1063 Office, Washington D.C..
- 1064 Rick, T.C. and Erlandson, J.M. (2009) Coastal Exploitation. Science 325, 952–953.
- Ritter, E. (2012) Comprehending the Prehistory of Laguna Manuela, Baja California. A Summary.
   *Proceedings of the Society for California Archaeology* 26, 51–70.
- 1067 Ritter, E.W. (2010a) Bahía de los Ángeles. In: La Prehistoria de Baja California: Avances en la
- 1068 arqueología de la península olvidada. Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia, Mexicali,
- 1069 Mexico, pp 210–223. [In Spanish.]
- 1070 Ritter, E.W. (2010b) El Desierto de Vizcaíno. In: La Prehistoria de Baja California: Avances en la
- 1071 *arqueología de la península olvidada*. (eds D. Laylander, J.D. Moore and J. Bendímez Patterson).
- 1072 Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia, Mexicali, Mexico, pp 169–190. [In Spanish.]
- 1073 Ritter, E.W. (1998) Investigations of Prehistoric Behavioral Ecology and Culture Change within the
- Bahía de los Ángeles Region, Baja California. *Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly* 34,
  9–44.
- 1076 Rodríguez Tomp, R.E. (2002) Cautivos de Dios: Los cazadores recolectores de Baja California
- 1077 *durante la Colonia*. CIESAS/Instituto Nacional Indigenista, Mexico City. [In Spanish.]
- 1078 Roman, J. and Palumbi, S. (2003) Whales Before Whaling in the North Atlantic. *Science* **301**, 508–510.
- 1079 Romero Gil, J.M., Heath, H.J. and Rivas Hernández, I. (2003) Noroeste minero: la minería en Sonora,
- 1080 Baja California y Baja California Sur durante el porfiriato. Instituto Sudcaliforniano de Cultura,
- 1081 Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur, Plaza y Valdés Editores, Mexico City. [In Spanish.]
- 1082 Rondeletti, G. (1554) Libri de piscibus marinis, in quibis verae piscium effigies expressar sunt., (Vol.
- 1083 2). Lugduni, apud Matthiam Bonhomme, Lyon. [In Latin, French, and Classical Greek.]

- Sadovy, Y. and Cheung, W.L. (2004) Near extinction of a highly fecund fish: the one that nearly got
  away. *Fish and Fisheries* 4, 86–99.
- Sáenz-Arroyo, A. and Revollo-Fernández, D. (2016) Local ecological knowledge concurs with fishing
  statistics: An example from the abalone fishery in Baja California, Mexico. *Marine Policy* 71, 217–
  221.
- 1089 Sáenz-Arroyo, A., Roberts, C.M., Torre, J. and Cariño-Olvera, M. (2005a) Using fishers' anecdotes,
- naturalists' observations and grey literature to reassess marine species at risk: the case of the Gulf
  grouper in the Gulf of California, Mexico. *Fish and Fisheries* 6, 121–133.
- 1092 Sáenz–Arroyo, A., Roberts, C.M., Torre, J. and Cariño-Olvera, M. (2005) Using fishers' anecdotes,
- 1093 naturalists' observations and grey literature to reassess marine species at risk: the case of the Gulf
- 1094 grouper in the Gulf of California, Mexico. *Fish and Fisheries* **6**, 121–133.
- 1095 Sáenz-Arroyo, A., Roberts, C.M., Torre, J., Cariño-Olvera, M. and Enriquez-Andrade, R. (2005b)
- 1096 Rapidly shifting environmental baselines among fishers of the Gulf of California. *Proceedings of the*
- 1097 Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 272, 1957–1962.
- 1098 Sáenz-Arroyo, A., Roberts, C.M., Torre, J., Cariño-Olvera, M. and Hawkins, J.P. (2006) The value of
- 1099 evidence about past abundance: marine fauna of the Gulf of California through the eyes of 16th to
- 1100 19th century travellers. *Fish and Fisheries* **7**, 128–146.
- 1101 Scammon, C.M. [1859 (1970)] Journal aboard the Bark Ocean Bird on a Whaling Voyage to
- 1102 Scammon's Lagoon, winter of 1858-1859. Dawson's Book Shop, Los Angeles.
- Schwerdtner Máñez, K., Holm, P., Blight, L., et al. (2014) The Future of the Oceans Past: Towards a
  Global Marine Historical Research Initiative. *PLoS ONE* 9, e101466.
- 1105 Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales (2010) Norma Oficial Mexicana NOM-059-
- 1106 SEMARNAT-2010 (Diario Oficial de la Federación, 30 December 2010). [In Spanish.]
- 1107 Seminoff, J.A. (2004) Chelonia mydas. Available at: http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/4615/0
- 1108 [Accessed February 3, 2016].
- 1109 Seminoff, J.A. (2010) Sea Turtles of the Gulf of California: Biology, Culture, and Conservation. In:
- 1110 The Gulf of California: Biodiversity and Conservation. (ed R.C. Brusca). University of Arizona
- 1111 Press, Tucson, pp 135–167.

- 1112 Seminoff, J.A., Resendiz, A. and Nichols, W.J. (2002) Home range of green turtles Chelonia mydas at
- a coastal foraging area in the Gulf of California, Mexico. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 242, 253–
  265.
- 1115 Seminoff, J.A., Reséndiz-Hidalgo, A., Jiménez de Reséndiz, B., Nichols, W.J. and Todd-Jones, T.
- 1116 (2008) Tortugas marinas. In: Bahía de los Ángeles: recursos naturales y comunidad : línea base
- 1117 2007. (eds G. Danemann and E. Ezcurra). Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales; San
- 1118 Diego Natural History Museum; Mexico City, San Diego, Calif., pp 457–494.
- 1119 Sociedad Latinoamericana de Etnobiología (2014) Código de ética para la investigación, la
- 1120 investigación-acción y la colaboración etnocientífica en América Latina. *Etnobiología* **12**.
- 1121 Taylor, J.E. (2013) Knowing the Black Box: Methodological Challenges in Marine Environmental
- 1122 History. *Environmental History* **19**, 60–75.
- 1123 The West Coast Fisheries (1931) La Tortuga Cahuama. The West Coast Fisheries, 70.
- 1124 Thurstan, R.H., McClenachan, L., Crowder, L.B., et al. (2015) Filling historical data gaps to foster
- solutions in marine conservation. *Ocean & Coastal Management* **115**, 31–40.
- 1126 Townsend, C.H. (1916) Voyage of the "Albatross" to the Gulf of California in 1911, (Bulletin of the
- 1127 American Museum of Natural History, Vol. XXXV). New York.
- 1128 True (1887) The Turtle and Terrapin Fisheries. In: Vol. 2. The Fisheries and Fishery Industries of the
- *United States*. U.S. Commision on Fisheries, Government Printing Office, Washington D.C., pp493–503.
- 1131 Van Sittert, L. (2005) The Other Seven Tenths. *Environmental History* **10**, 106–109.
- 1132 Vernon, E.W. (2009) A Maritime History of Baja California. Viejo Press/Maritime Museum of San
- 1133 Diego, San Diego, California.
- 1134 Weiss, K., Hamann, M. and Marsh, H. (2012) Bridging Knowledges: Understanding and Applying
- 1135 Indigenous and Western Scientific Knowledge for Marine Wildlife Management. *Society and*
- 1136 *Natural Resources* **26**, 285–302.
- 1137 Zeller, D., Booth, S., Craig, P. and Pauly, D. (2006) Reconstruction of coral reef fisheries catches in
- 1138 American Samoa, 1950–2002. *Coral Reefs* **25**, 144–152.
- 1139 **TABLES**

# Table 1: Characteristics of ethnography

Approach	Holistic study of a social system					
	Thick description: explaining phenomena as well as context					
	Integration of "emic" (ethnographic contributors' explanations, categories, observations) and "etic" (researcher's explanations, categories, observations) perspectives					
	Data collection, commentary on both data and data collection, meta-analysis					
Toolkit	Participant observation: immersion in a social group as an active participant, all observations recorded in detail in field journals and then indexed, coded, and categorized					
	Structured, semi-structured, in-depth, informal, and open-ended interviews					
	Oral history and life histories					
	Mapping and collaborative mapping					
	Technical photography					
	Photojournalling					
	Audio recording					
	Video recording					
	Questionnaires and surveys					
	Statistical analysis					
	Textual analysis					

Table 2: Examples of quantitative data obtained from ethnographic sources. Bold type shows data used to reconstruct harvests.

Contributor	Age	Location	Quote
Fisherman	76	Laguna Ojo de Liebre	"[The Cooperative] would turn in <b>five or six tonnes a week</b> [] I'm talking about a lot of animals, about <b>80, 90, 100 animals a</b> <b>week</b> [] This was around <b>1967, 1968, 1969</b> "
Fisherman	67	Laguna Ojo de Liebre	"[After the highway was built] in the <b>summer</b> , a buyer would come <b>every day, at least every three</b> . In the <b>winter</b> they came <b>every five or six days</b> ."
Fisherman	82	Laguna Ojo de Liebre	"We'd make the trip with 100 kilos of jerky [] That was about 25 or 30 turtles, we'd get 3 or 4 kilos from each one [] In summer, it would take about 2 or 3 days to get that many turtles [] We'd go to El Arco about every two or three weeks."
Merchant	70	Laguna Ojo de Liebre	"Before the highway you couldn't make more than <b>two trips a</b> <b>month</b> [] The trucks were <b>three tonnes</b> , 12-14 feet long. They carried <b>three rows of turtles</b> ."
Fisherman	62	Bahía de los Ángeles	"Most of the meat we ate was sea turtle. We'd eat it <b>two or three times a week</b> "

Table 3: Examples of quantitative data obtained from historical sources. Bold type shows data used to reconstruct catches.

Source category	Title and date	Location	Quote
Whaling logbook	Journal of the Bark Ocean Bird.	Laguna Ojo de Liebre	" <b>One boat</b> was off turtling [] she came on board with <b>four turtle</b> and 20 curlew"
	24 November,		
	1859		
Newspaper article	San Francisco	Laguna Ojo de	"Arrived, schooner Cygnet, from
Newspaper article	Alta California,	Liebre	Scammon's Lagoon, with 100 turtle; forty
	11 February, 1871		of them will be shipped direct to Chicago."
Magazine article	Pacific Fisherman, December, 1920	Laguna Ojo de Liebre	"Our stay at the lagoons was <b>three days</b> and we brought back a cargo of <b>350</b> <b>turtles</b> "

Table 4: Consumption reconstruction parameter values and estimates

Period	Consumption reconstruction (Eq. 1, 2)							
	Q (kg person $^{-1}$ y <sup>-</sup>	γ*	λ†	δ‡	p ( <i>kg</i> turtle- <sup>1</sup> )	n <sub>t</sub> (people) **	$c_t$ (turtles person <sup>-1</sup> y <sup>-1</sup>	C <sub>t</sub> (turtles y <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> )
Pre-Hispanic (1700-1750)	192, 500	3.5%, 14%	71%	0	43, 50	1950, 2000	0.19-2.29	535-740
Mission (1750-1850)	192, 500	3.5%, 14%	71%	0	43, 50	150-1894	0.19-2.29	8-352
Secular (1850-1945)	97	7%, 43%	45%, 71%	0, 80%	43, 50	3-1000	0.22-1.7	1-(1,682)
Modern Fisheries (1945-1990)	97	7%, 43%	45%, 71%	0, 80%	43, 50	250-4050	0.22-1.7	282-975

Equations used	S1					S2		
to calculate parameter values								
Data source	A, E, H, S	A, C, H, S	E, H, S	E, C	E, M	A, E, H	A, E, H	
Assumptions	All captures correspond to <i>C. mydas</i> Inland and coastal subpopulations had distinct dietary patterns All dietary patterns remained stable during each historical period Inland and coastal subpopulations had distinct dietary patterns Sea turtle consumption patterns remained stable from the Pre-Hispanic to the Mission Period Dietary patterns remained stable from the Secular to the Modern Fisheries Period Mean sea turtle weight was constant across time periods							

Note: ranges of values are indicated by a hyphen, individual values are separated by commas, -- indicates not applicable. Outlying values ( $\pm 2SD$ ) are shown in parentheses.

\* Percentage of annual meat consumption from sea turtles

† Percentage of sea turtle tissue consumed

‡ Percentage of change in weight due to processing

\*\* Population values for calculations (either location) are the sum of two subpopulations (coastal and inland).

A: Published archaeological research

C: Published nutritional and commercial reports

E: Ethnographic data

M: Scientific monitoring data

H: Historical/ethnohistorical sources

S: Published scientific research

	Pre-Hispanic Period (12000 A.P 1750)	Mission Period (1750-1850)	Secular Period (1850-1945)	Modern Fisheries Period (1945-1990)
Regional population**	3950	Max: 3950 Min: 346	Max.: 1000 Min.: 7	Max.: 9300 Min.: 240
Key characteristics and historical events	Small hunter- gatherer populations	Integration into New Spain Massive deaths of native peoples due to disease, forced sedentarization	Integration to independent Mexico (1822) Secularization of mission lands ( <i>circa</i> 1850) Large-scale land, fishing, and mining concessions to foreign companies	Large-scale commercial sea turtle fisheries in Mexican Pacific Introduction of motors, turtle nets, fiberglass vessels Increased communication Rapid growth of cities on Mexico-U.S. border Total ban on sea turtle captures (1990)
Sea turtle use patterns	Subsistence	Subsistence	Subsistence/ Commercial	Subsistence/ Commercial
Non-traditional data source categories and number of sources used <sup>*†</sup>	A (n=24), H (n=30)	A (n=24), H (n=38)	E (n=107), H (n=44)	E (n=320), H (n=9)

Table 5: General chronology of sea turtle use in the Central Desert of Baja California

1143

1144 \*\* Maximum and minimum estimated aggregate population values for the region during the period.

1145 A: Published archaeological research

1146 E: Ethnographic data

1147 H: Historical/ethnohistorical sources

\* For ethnographic data, one source is defined as one journal entry, interview, audio recording, video recording, image, or map.

1150 † Some sources were used for multiple periods.

		Estimated harvest by whalers*		Estimated imports to California $^{\dagger}$			
		Year	Turtles y <sup>-1</sup>	Year	Turtles y <sup>-1</sup>		
		1858	99	1887	183		
		1859	444	1917	232		
		1860	543	1918	295		
		1861	395	1919	2686		
		1862	148	1920	810		
		1863	148	1921	105		
		1864	148	1922	32		
		1865	49	1923	2		
		1866	99	1924	0		
		1867	0	1925	0		
		1868	0	1926	0		
		1869	49	1927	53		
		1870	49	1928	21		
		1871	99	1929	0		
		1872	0	1930	63		
		1873	99	1931	53		
				1932	21		
				1933	21		
				1934	5		
				1935	0		
	Equations used for reconstruction	3; Supp. Info. S3					
	Assumptions	Reported catches are representative of the fleet		1887-1918: 1/3 of landings correspond to study site			
		All captures correspond to C. mydas		1919-1935: All landings correspond to study site			
1152							
1153	* Sources: Daily Alta California 1860, 1871; Henderson 1972; Scammon 1859(1970)						
1154 1155	† Sources: Kar	melich 1935; Ra	adcliffe 1922; True 1887				

Table 6: Estimated commercial sea turtle harvests from Laguna Ojo de Liebre (Secular Period)

### 1156 FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1**: Map of study area. Primary research sites, Bahía de los Ángeles (a) and Guerrero Negro/Laguna Ojo de Liebre (b), are in red (circles). Secondary research sites —missions (crosses) and mining communities (triangles) — are in orange. Commercial centres are represented with rectangles. The primary commercial site, Ensenada (c), is in purple and secondary commercial sites are pink. The orange circle in the inset map represents the index nesting beach at Colola, Michoacán. The shaded area represents the limits of the study region, and the dotted line represents the current administrative divisions between the states of Baja California and Baja California Sur.

1164

Figure 2: Estimated annual harvest of C. mydas, 1700-1990 from Bahía de los Ángeles (a) and 1165 1166 Guerrero Negro/Laguna Ojo de Liebre (b) during the Pre-Hispanic Period (1700-1750) (squares), Mission Period (1750-1850) (crosses), Secular Period (1850-1945) (triangles), and Modern Fisheries 1167 1168 Period (circles). Consumption reconstruction data are in red (Equations 1 and 2), commercial 1169 reconstruction data are in blue (Equation 4), and official landing data are in green. Encircled values are 1170 outliers. The dashed line represents the suggested trend based on the rolling mean. Dotted lines indicate  $10^3$  order of magnitude, and the shaded area represents the intersection of years 1960-1980 and  $10^3$ 1171 order of magnitude catches. Arrow 1 indicates approximate dates of market formation. Arrow 2 1172 1173 indicates approximate dates region-wide introduction of turtle nets, offboard motors, and fibreglass 1174 vessels. Arrow 3 indicates the opening of the Transpeninsular Highway (1974). Arrow 4 indicates the 1175 approximate beginning conservation efforts in the index beaches of Colola and Maruata, Michoacán 1176 (early 1980s). Arrow 5 indicates the total ban on sea turtle captures in Mexico (1990).

Figure 3: Approximate human population trends from 1700-1990: Bahía de los Ángeles (red), Laguna Ojo de Liebre (blue), and the Territory of Baja California Norte/State of Baja California (green). Open circles and dashed lines represent population levels reconstructed from historical and ethnographic data (n=57). Solid circles and lines indicate census and inter-censal data at 5-10 year intervals (n=23) (Instituto Nacional de Estadística, Geografía e Informática 2015, 2017a,b).

1183

**Figure 4:** Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) of green turtles in scientific in-water monitoring in Bahía de los Ángeles (a) and Guerrero Negro/Laguna Ojo de Liebre (b). CPUE is defined as the number of turtles caught by one 100x8*m* net in 12 hours. Maximum CPUE values in a given year (red) are labelled with a rectangle and connected with a solid line. Mean CPUE values for a given year (blue) are labelled with a triangle and connected with a dotted line. Data from Comisión Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas and Grupo Tortuguero de las Californias A.C..

1190

Figure 5: Annual green sea turtles nests at Colola, Michoacán (Delgado-Trejo 2016). Adapted from
Delgado-Trejo (2016). Arrow 1 indicates the total ban on sea turtle captures in Mexico (1990). Arrow 2
indicates approximate dates for the start of monitoring efforts at the study sites (early 2000s).

1194

Figure 6: Fishers' perception of differences in green sea turtle abundance between the present and the years in which they caught green turtles commercially (both communities). Red bars represent fishers aged 65-89 (n=14) and blue bars represent fishers aged 40-64 (n=17).

```
1198 FIGURES
```

1200 Figure 1



























